

Journal Pre-proof



New-Onset myocardial injury in COVID-19 Pregnant Patients: A Case Series of 15 Patients

Brisandi Ruiz Mercedes, MD, Ayna Serwat, MBBS, Lena Naffaa, MD, Nairovi Ramirez, MD, Fatima Khalid, MBBS, Sofia B. Steward, MD, Omar Gabriel Caro Feliz, MD, Mohamad Kassab, MD, Co-Senior Author, Lina Karout, MD, Co-Senior Author – Will handle correspondence at all stages

PII: S0002-9378(20)31206-0

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.10.031>

Reference: YMOB 13557

To appear in: *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

Received Date: 11 August 2020

Revised Date: 8 October 2020

Accepted Date: 20 October 2020

Please cite this article as: Mercedes BR, Serwat A, Naffaa L, Ramirez N, Khalid F, Steward SB, Caro Feliz OG, Kassab M, Karout L, New-Onset myocardial injury in COVID-19 Pregnant Patients: A Case Series of 15 Patients, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.10.031>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

New-Onset myocardial injury in COVID-19 Pregnant Patients: A Case Series of 15 Patients

Brisandi Ruiz Mercedes, MD*

Department of Medicine, Division of infectious diseases
Hospital Aristides Fiallo, La Romana, Dominican Republic
Email: brisandirui@gmail.com

Ayna Serwat, MBBS*

Department of Medicine
Liaquat National Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan
Email: aynaserwat@gmail.com

Lena Naffaa, MD

Department of Radiology, Division of pediatric imaging
Wolfson Children's Hospital, Orlando FL, USA
Email: Lena.Naffaa@nemours.org

Nairovi Ramirez, MD

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Hospital Materno Infantil San Lorenzo De Los Minas, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic
Email: Nairorami13@hotmail.com

Fatima Khalid, MBBS

Department of Medicine
King Edward Medical University, Pakistan
Email: fatimakhalid1891@gmail.com

Sofia B. Steward, MD

Department of Medicine
University of Carabobo, Valencia, Venezuela
Email: Sofiagbl55@icloud.com

Omar Gabriel Caro Feliz, MD

Department of Medicine
Menonita Medical Center, Guayama, Puerto Rico, USA
Email: Omarcaro42@gmail.com

Mohamad Kassab, MD* (Co-Senior Author)

Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology

Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA

55 Fruit street, 02114, Boston USA

Email: mkassab@mgh.harvard.edu

Phone: + 1 617 755 2120

Lina Karout, MD*(Co-Senior Author – Will handle correspondence at all stages))

Department of Radiology

American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon

Lebanon. P.O.Box: 11-0236 Riad El-Solh, Beirut, 1107 2020, Lebanon.

Email: lk81@aub.edu.lb and linakarout25@gmail.com

Phone: + 1 617 416 6253

***: Contributed equally to the study.**

Conflicts of Interest:

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding:

The study was not funded.

Condensation:

Myocardial injury is frequent in severe COVID-19; pregnant women with COVID-19 could be more susceptible to myocardial injury and adverse outcomes.

Short Title: Myocardial Dysfunction in COVID-19 pregnant women.

AJOG at a Glance:

A. Why was the study conducted?

- To assess the characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 and myocardial dysfunction in 15 pregnant patients.

B. What were the main findings?

- Fifteen patients with diagnosed COVID-19 developed myocardial injury.
- 66.7% presented with shortness of breath and 16.3% with palpitations.
- All patients needed to be transferred to ICU
- 86.6% were intubated
- All patients had myocardial dysfunction with highly elevated troponin and BNP concentrations.
- All patients developed left ventricular dysfunction.
- Two patients passed away due to torsades de pointes and sustained supraventricular tachycardia.

C. What does this study add to what is already known?

- This study illustrates the clinical and baseline characteristics of pregnant women who developed COVID-19 induced myocardial injury.
- Although our patients were previously healthy with no cardiovascular risk factors and diseases, they developed severe COVID-19 induced myocardial injury and ventricular dysfunction.

▪

Abstract

Background: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the new coronavirus responsible for the coronavirus disease (COVID-19), characterized by acute respiratory distress syndrome and atypical pneumonia. In non-pregnant women, studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 causes cardiac injury, which can result in myocardial inflammation and damage. Despite many studies investigating the extent of cardiac compromise in severely ill COVID-19 patients, little is known regarding its impact on pregnant women.

Objective: To illustrate the clinical, laboratory, radiological findings, and outcomes of COVID-19 pregnant patients who developed myocardial injury with ventricular dysfunction.

Study Design: We retrospectively reviewed the paper records of fifteen pregnant women with COVID-19, who developed myocardial injury on a single tertiary care hospital in the Dominican Republic. Patient's baseline characteristics, clinical picture, laboratory, and radiological findings were presented, and maternal and fetal outcomes were analyzed.

Results: Of 154 pregnant patients diagnosed with COVID-19 at our hospital during the study period, 15 (9.7%), developed myocardial injury. These patients' mean age and gestational age were 29.87 ± 5.83 and 32.31 ± 3.68 , respectively. 66.7% of patients presented with shortness of breath and 16.3% with palpitations. All patients were admitted to the intensive care unit, and 86.6% needed intubation. Patients developed myocardial injury confirmed with highly elevated troponin ($34.6 [14.4-55.5 \text{ ng/ml}]$), and pro-BNP concentrations ($209 [184-246 \text{ pg/ml}]$). Additionally, all patients developed left ventricular dysfunction demonstrated by an echocardiogram with a mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of $37.67 \pm 6.4 \%$. Two patients that presented with palpitations passed away a few days after admission.

Conclusion: Our study showed COVID-19 induced myocardial injury and left ventricular dysfunction in pregnant women with a 13.3% mortality rate which was attributed to malignant arrhythmias.

Keywords: COVID-19; pregnancy; myocardial injury; ventricular dysfunction; SARS-COV-2; cardiovascular disease; coronavirus

Introduction

116 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) is the new coronavirus, responsible
117 for the highly infectious coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which was declared a global public health
118 emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 ¹⁻³. As of August 9, 2020,
119 more than 19 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 had been reported globally, with 727,317 deaths ⁴.
120 Emerging studies demonstrated the deleterious effect of SARS-CoV-2 on the cardiovascular (CV)
121 system such as myocardial injury which is associated with myocardial inflammation and damage ⁵.
122 Almost 33% of non-pregnant COVID-19 patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) develop
123 cardiac injury ⁶. Despite many studies investigating the COVID-19 effect on adult patients' heart, little is
124 known regarding its impact on pregnant women.

125

126 Pregnancy leads to physiological, immunological, and mechanical changes that increase susceptibility to
127 infectious respiratory organisms predisposing to more severe illnesses ⁷. Angiotensin-converting enzyme
128 2 (ACE-2) receptors are believed to be the door for SARS-CoV-2 entry into the host cells. Interestingly,
129 ACE-2 receptors expression is increased during pregnancy. SARS-CoV-2 downregulates ACE-2
130 receptors eliminating its cardioprotective effect and leading to increasing concentrations of tumor
131 necrotic factors alfa and inflammation, which could be the possible cause of myocardial dysfunction in
132 pregnant and non-pregnant COVID-19 patients ⁵. In recent COVID-19 surveillance by the Center for
133 Disease Control (CDC), pregnant women were more likely to require hospitalization than non-pregnant
134 women (31.5% vs. 5.8%, respectively).

135

136 Moreover, pregnant women were significantly more likely to be admitted to ICU and receive
137 mechanical ventilation (adjusted relative risk =1.5, 95% confidence interval=1.2-1.8) compared to non-
138 pregnant COVID-19 positive patients⁷. Intriguingly, there is a lack of studies investigating the effect of

COVID-19 on pregnant women's CV system. To our knowledge, only one study by Juusela et al., showed the occurrence of cardiomyopathy in COVID-19 pregnant women, according to their results, out of seven pregnant women with COVID-19 two developed cardiac dysfunction (28.6%; 95% CI, 8.2%–64.1%) with moderately reduced left ventricular ejection fraction of 40%–45% and hypokinesia⁸. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the impact of COVID-19 on the heart of pregnant women. This case series aims to describe the baseline, and clinical characteristics, laboratory, radiological findings, and outcome of fifteen pregnant women admitted to a single tertiary care hospital with COVID-19 and who developed myocardial injury. Investigating these cases would help provide a better understanding of possible risk factors of COVID-19 in the pregnant population and provide data to possibly help in counseling pregnant women with such complications.

Material and Methods

Study population and design

To determine the clinical characteristics and evolution of myocardial injury in critically ill COVID-19 pregnant patients, we conducted a single-center, retrospective observational study between March 20 and June 30, 2020, in an obstetric tertiary level hospital in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic. The study population consisted of fifteen pregnant patients admitted to the hospital with confirmed COVID-19 disease by real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay of nasopharyngeal swab specimens and developed myocardial injury. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and the bioethics committee in the Public Health Ministry in the Dominican Republic (CONABIOS) before accessing the data, and informed consent was obtained.

Data collection

Data from the paper records in the hospital archives section was retrieved after patient discharge or death. Data consisted of prenatal demographics and clinical characteristics, patients', laboratory ECG and radiological findings, and outcomes.

Patients' baseline and clinical characteristics consisted of patients' age, Body Mass Index (BMI), presence of chronic diseases, gestational age (GA), Gravida-Para-Aborta-C Section (GPAC), and prenatal course. In Addition to patients' chief complaint (CC), CC duration, ICU admission, and need for intubation.

Laboratory findings consisted of cardiac enzymes: cardiac troponin I (cTnI) and N-terminal pro-B-Type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) with normal concentration being <0.4 ng/ml and <100 pg/ml respectively. As per the Academic College of Cardiology recommendations, patients' were considered

to have myocardial injury if troponin concentration were above the 99th percentile upper reference limit; $>0.4 \text{ ng/ml}$ ⁹.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) measurement consisted of rhythm, presence of bundle branch block (BBB), S.T. elevation, and T wave inversion. Radiological findings of chest radiographs consisted of the presence of consolidation, ground-glass, and cardiothoracic index (CTI). Whereas transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) measurements consisted of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), left ventricular dilation (LVD), left atrial dilation (LAD), and left ventricular (LV) hypokinesis. Left ventricular dysfunction was considered in patients with $\text{LVEF} \leq 49\%$ ¹⁰.

We also recorded information related to the mode of delivery and gestational age. Maternal and infant outcomes, neonate weight (Kg), length (cm) and Activity, Pulse, Grimace, Appearance, and respiration (APGAR) scores at 0 and 5 minutes and if they were admitted to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). APGAR score was considered reassuring if 7-10, moderately abnormal if 4-6, and low if 0-3¹¹.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted using SPSS 24 for Windows (SPSS Inc, IBM). Categorical variables are presented as frequencies with percentages, and continuous variables are presented as means \pm standard deviations for normally distributed values and median and interquartile ranges (IQR) for non-normally distributed values.

Results

Among 154 symptomatic pregnant patients that attended our hospital with COVID-19 between March 20 to June 30, 2020, 77 (50%) had moderate disease and were admitted to the hospital floor, 34 (22%) had severe disease and needed ICU admission and 15 (9.7%) developed myocardial injury with left ventricular dysfunction.

Baseline and Clinical Characteristics

Patients were 29.87 ± 5.83 years-old and at 32.31 ± 3.68 weeks of gestation. All patients were previously healthy and only 13.3% had prenatal bleeding (Table 1). From fifteen patients, 66.6% presented to the hospital with SOB, 13.3% with palpitations, 13.3% with DFM, and 6.6% with fatigue. Patients were admitted to the hospital approximately 9.93 ± 3.13 days after the start of symptoms. All patients had severe disease and were admitted to the ICU and 86.6% were intubated.

Laboratory, ECG, and radiographic findings

All patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR and had reactive COVID ELISA IgM. All patients had elevated cardiac enzymes were the median and interquartile range for troponin and Pro-BNP were 34.6 [14.4-55.5 ng/ml] and 209 [184-246 pg/ml] respectively (Table 2). Almost 55% of patients had abnormal ECG findings where 13.3% had irregular rhythms, 33.3% BBB, 40% ST depression, and 40% T wave inversion. In terms of patients' chest x-rays, all patients had lung consolidation, and 6 (40%) had ground-glass opacities. The CTI of patients were 0.5 ± 0.06 . In terms of patients TTE, all patients had abnormal findings were all presented with left ventricular dysfunction with a mean LVEF of 37.67 ± 6.4 and LV diffuse hypokinesis. Additionally, 20% had left atrial dilatation and 13% LV dilation.

Maternal and infant outcome

All patients were delivered by c-section were 60% were delivered prematurely. The mean gestational age at delivery was 34.2 ± 4 weeks. From fifteen patients 13.3% died after delivery due to malignant arrhythmias (ventricular tachycardia and torsade de point). One clinically unstable mother was delivered at 23.3 weeks of pregnancy by an emergent c-section due to a significant decrease in fetal heart rate; the child was delivered and was unresponsive with an APGAR score of 0 at 0 and 5 mins. No autopsy or additional tests were performed to determine the cause of death (Table 3). A great proportion of patients had to deliver their babies prematurely (60%) due to their unstable clinical (unstable vitals, severe hypoxemia arrhythmia) condition and fetal bradycardia. This was decided when the physician believed that the continuation of pregnancy results in jeopardy of the mother and fetus's life.

From 14 infants, 6 (35.7%) of the infants had low birth weight (weight <2.5 Kg) and 1 (7.1%) had very low birth weight (<1.5 Kg). 8 (57%) of the infants had a reassuring APGAR score at 0 and 5 minutes, 3 (21.5%) had a moderately depressed APGAR score at 0 min and a reassuring score at 5 min and 3 (21.5%) had a moderately depressed score at 0 and 5 mins. Additionally, 5 (35.7%) infants were admitted to the NICU (premature infants with low birth weight) and discharged later.

Discussion

Principal Findings of the study

Our study showed the different baseline and clinical characteristics, laboratory, and radiological findings, and outcomes of fifteen pregnant women with confirmed COVID-19 who developed myocardial injury with left ventricular systolic dysfunction. The patients were young, previously healthy women in the third trimester of pregnancy. The most common hospital presentation was shortness of breath, followed by palpitations. All of them had a positive RT-PCR test and chest x-ray confirming COVID-19. Cardiac injury biomarkers were elevated in the fifteen cases, with some showing ECG changes and all having decreased LVEF. All patients were critical and were admitted to the ICU, and thirteen (86.6%) were intubated. Unfortunately, two (13.2%) patients passed away a few days after admission due to arrhythmia.

Results of the study in the context of what is known

There is a surge in studies demonstrating the deleterious effect of COVID-19 on the CV system¹². In non-pregnant women, COVID-19 has been associated with cardiovascular diseases (CVD) such as myocarditis, acute myocardial infarction, cardiomyopathy, arrhythmias, and venous thromboembolic events¹². Myocardial injury is the most common reported CV event in COVID-19 patients and is independently associated with high mortality^{12,13}. Myocardial injury with elevated cardiac markers occurred in 7-17% of patients hospitalized with COVID-19 and 22-31% in more severe cases admitted to the ICU¹². In a cohort study of 191 symptomatic hospitalized COVID-19 patients, 33 (17%) developed myocardial injury in which 32 (97%) died¹³. This high mortality rate can be attributed to the fact that 63% of 191 COVID-19 patients had severe or critical disease status. In another study, 20% of COVID-19 patients developed myocardial injury, and they were five times more likely to need

mechanical ventilation and eleven times more likely to die in comparison with non- cardiac affected patients¹³. There are several mechanisms of myocardial injury with myocarditis or systemic inflammation being the most common. It is worth noting that all of these findings were in non-pregnant COVID-19 women with limited studies investigating the CV effect of COVID-19 in pregnant women. To our knowledge, there is only one study that shows the cardiac effect of COVID-19 in pregnancy⁸. In this case series, two pregnant women with COVID-19 developed cardiac dysfunction with moderately reduced LVEF (40-45%) and hypokinesis. These two patients were previously healthy with some CV risk factors such as race/ethnicity, obesity, and one had advanced maternal age. Both patients delivered their babies by c-section and were isolated in negative-pressure rooms. The outcome was still unknown since, at the time of article writing, they were still admitted to ICU recovering. Similarly, in our study, out of 154 pregnant women with COVID-19 referred to the hospital, 15 (9.7%) developed myocardial injury with reduced LVEF, which ranged from 22-45% with a 37.67 ± 6.4 mean. All fifteen cases had very high elevated troponin and BNP concentrations with or without ECG changes and were admitted to the ICU. It is unknown if the incidence of cardiac injury in these patients is due to the direct effect of SARS-CoV-2 virus or secondary to multiorgan failure due to overwhelming critical illness. Older patients with COVID-19 and comorbidities are more likely to developed cardiac injury. Intriguingly, our patients were young, previously healthy females with minimal CV risk factors; this makes a statement regarding the impact of COVID-19 disease in the CV system. It can cause cardiac compromise, even in the absence of previous CVD. It is worth mentioning that surprisingly, two studies showed that the prevalence of acute myocardial injury in COVID-19 patients increased patients' mortality significantly more than age, previous CVD, CV risk factors, and chronic pulmonary disease^{6,14}. Therefore, it is essential to identify cardiac injury in pregnant patients to avoid complications early in their disease course.

286

287 Arrhythmia and sudden cardiac arrest are also common CV complications of COVID-19 patients ^{15, 13}. A
288 Chinese study of 138 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 showed that 23 patients (16.7%) developed
289 arrhythmias, and 16 (69.5%) required ICU admission ¹⁵. Over 7% of COVID-19 patients present with
290 palpitations¹³. Studies have shown a range of arrhythmias in COVID-19 patients with sinus tachycardia
291 being the most common type ¹³. This fact is consistent with our findings because 26.6% of patients had
292 sinus tachycardia, and 13.3% had atrial fibrillation. Unfortunately, the two patients that presented with
293 atrial fibrillation had an emergency c-section and passed away 3 and 6 days after hospitalization due to
294 torsade de pointes and sustained ventricular tachycardia. In our study, the new-onset atrial fibrillation in
295 patients with respiratory distress syndrome is associated with an increase in the 90-day mortality ¹⁶. In
296 contrast, In the study by Juusela et al., one patient developed supraventricular tachycardia and received
297 high dose metoprolol, but fortunately recovered ⁸. We believe that the prevalence of arrhythmia in these
298 patients could be secondary to electrolyte imbalance, COVID-19 by itself, myocardial injury, and
299 hypoxia.

300

301 Literature shows the controversial prevalence of COVID-19 induced left ventricular systolic
302 dysfunction. One systematic prospective echocardiography study of 100 COVID-19 patients (>18
303 years), who had an echocardiograph within 24 hours of admission showed that the prevalence of systolic
304 LV dysfunction was uncommon (<10%)¹⁷. This percentage consisted of patients with mild, moderate,
305 and severe COVID-19 disease with or without troponin elevations. Additionally, from 100 patients,
306 only 20% had elevated troponin concentrations in which 15% had associated reduced LVEF. On
307 contrary, a study, 125 patients with COVID-19 admitted to a hospital were assessed for the prevalence
308 of LV dysfunction ¹⁸. This population consisted majorly of severe COVID-19 69% of the patients who

were admitted to the ICU with 88% requiring mechanical ventilation. From 125 patients, 22% had an impaired LVEF ($<50\%$). Whereas, from 125 patients only 93 had cardiac biomarkers measure. Almost 50% of patients with elevated cardiac enzymes (troponin concentration ≥ 50 ng/L) had LV dysfunction. Similarly, in a retrospective study of 72 COVID-19 patients who had echocardiography due to major concern of acute cardiovascular event or due to hemodynamic instability, 34.7% had a reduced LVEF in which 45.7% had an elevated troponin concentration and reduced LVEF 19. It is worth noting from these patients, it was unknown who had pre-existing LV dysfunction. Both studies showed that the prevalence of ventricular dysfunction in COVID-19 patients to be common which is consistent with our study 18,19. However, when compared with our study, from fifteen patients with elevated cardiac troponin concentrations 100% had reduced LVEF. This raises the question of an increased prevalence of COVID-19 induced systolic dysfunction in pregnant women when compared to non-pregnant patients.

Troponin elevation in COVID-19 is directly proportionate to adverse outcomes and mortality 12. The presence of positive troponin concentrations is associated with severe illness and poor outcomes in COVID-19 patients. These patients are five times more likely to need ventilation, develop arrhythmias, and die. In contrast, patients with mild disease rarely have elevated troponin concentrations (1-2%)²⁰. The National Health Commission of China reported that 12% of COVID-19 patients present with an elevated troponin level. Additionally, they stated that 46% of COVID-19 non-survivors had elevated troponin concentrations versus 1% of survivors. These findings support the association of elevated troponin concentrations with increased mortality²¹. The magnitude and rate of troponin elevation are directly associated with poor outcome²². Troponin concentrations in COVID-19 patients were repeatedly measured at 4,10, 13, and 22 days of infection and were 2.5, 4.1, 4.4, and 3.8 ng/ml respectively in survivors and 8.8, 22, 55, and 290.6 ng/ml in non-survivors²³. In a meta-analysis of 4

studies, patients with severe COVID-19 had significantly higher troponin concentrations than mild COVID-19 cases ²⁴. Whereas, in our study, all patients had elevated troponin concentrations, which had an interquartile range of 14.4-55.5 ng/ml]. Additionally, all had a moderate to a severe course of disease needing ICU admission. Unfortunately, we had two fatalities due to arrhythmias in our study, which were associated with the highest troponin concentrations (641 and 750 ng/ml, respectively). A study in Wuhan supports this, demonstrating an increased risk of malignant arrhythmias such as ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation in patients with elevated troponin concentrations compared with patients with normal concentrations ¹³. This potentially can be one of the major factors leading to increased mortality in these patients. While troponin demonstrated to be an excellent prognostic marker, BNP has shown a similar role ²⁵. Increased BNP concentrations in COVID-19 patients is a marker of cardiac injury and is associated with in-hospital death ²⁶. A study by Guo et al., showed that elevated troponin and BNP are significantly associated with each other ¹⁴. Moreover, Shi et al. demonstrated elevated BNP concentrations in COVID-19 patients with cardiac involvement compared to patients with no cardiac involvement. Additionally, these patients had a significantly higher mortality rate, which reached 51.2% of cases ⁶. Therefore, routinely measuring these biomarkers at admission would play an essential role in decreasing mortality in high-risk patients.

Multiple studies illustrated the clinical picture of COVID-19 in pregnant women ^{4,27}. In a cohort study of 64 severely or critically ill pregnant women with COVID-19 admitted to the hospital in the USA, no incidence of CV complication was noted except for one episode of cardiac arrest ⁴. Additionally, no maternal mortality occurred. Whereas, in our study of 154 pregnant women diagnosed with COVID-19 9.7% developed myocardial injury and 13.3% died. In terms of delivery, 60% of our patients delivered prematurely which is consistent with this cohort study where 59.4% of patients who delivered during

hospitalization had a preterm delivery of <37 weeks and 31.2% of <34 weeks. Furthermore, the mean birthweights of neonates were somewhat similar (2.2 ± 0.7 Kg in our study vs 2.4 ± 0.8 Kg in the cohort study) which is likely due to the early gestational age of mothers. However, contrary to our study no fetal demise has occurred. In the cohort study, 63.3% (vs 35% in our study) of neonates were admitted to the NICU. In this cohort study, patients were characterized according to the severity of COVID-19; severe vs critical. The average rate of NICU admission of neonates was 63.6% for all patients and 40% for severely ill and 83.3% for critically ill patients. Whereas, in our study, 35% of neonates were admitted to the NICU. Another cohort illustrated the clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in 158 COVID-19 pregnant women²⁷. The study classified patients into asymptomatic or mild disease (78%) and moderate or severe disease (22%). Interestingly, in this study, no CV complications were reported. From 15 hospitalized patients with moderate to severe disease, only one (6.6%) was intubated (vs 86.6% were intubated in our study) and 9 (60%) were admitted to the ICU (vs 100% in our study). Additionally, only 2 (13.3%) of women had a preterm delivery (vs 60% in our study). All of this data shows the great variance in the prevalence and severity of COVID-19 among the different population.”

Clinical and Research Implications

This case series has important implications for obstetric practice and research. It demonstrates that there is a major lack of knowledge and literature, showing the need for further studies to investigate the potential effect of COVID-19 on the heart of pregnant women. A case-control study investigating if there is a difference in the effect of COVID-19 on the CV system between pregnant and non-pregnant women with COVID-19 is essential. In addition, a larger-scale study of COVID-19 outcomes in pregnant women taking into consideration different gestational trimesters, the presence of CV risk factors and comorbidities would be necessary to draw conclusions. This would be very important

especially in the third trimester of pregnancy were maternal risk of decompensation and complications increases.

Strengths and Limitations

This study has limitations, like many others. First, our study comprises a small sample size; a more extensive cohort study is needed to confirm our findings. Second, only symptomatic pregnant women were tested for COVID-19, which could result in overestimation in COVID-19 and cardiomyopathy association in pregnancy. Third, we could not provide a clear understanding of the rate of cardiac injury in our population was due to COVID-19, pregnancy by itself, or systemic illness. Fourth, Remdesivir was not administered since it was not available in the Dominican Republic at the time of these patients' admission.

Conclusions

Most of the studies on COVID-19 have described its CV effect in non-pregnant women. Our study illustrates the abnormal findings of pregnant women with COVID-19 induced myocardial injury with left ventricular dysfunction. Patients had a mortality rate was 13.3% and which was attributed to malignant arrhythmias.

References

1. Chen R, Zhang Y, Huang L, Cheng B-h, Xia Z-y, Meng Q-t. Safety and efficacy of different anesthetic regimens for parturients with COVID-19 undergoing Cesarean delivery: a case series of 17 patients. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie*. 2020:1-9.
2. Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10226):809-815.
3. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta bio-medica: Atenei Parmensis*. 2020;91(1):157-160.
4. Pierce-Williams RAM, Burd J, Felder L, et al. Clinical course of severe and critical coronavirus disease 2019 in hospitalized pregnancies: a United States cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2020;2(3):100134-100134.
5. Babapoor-Farrokhran S, Gill D, Walker J, Rasekhi RT, Bozorgnia B, Amanullah A. Myocardial injury and COVID-19: Possible mechanisms. *Life Sciences*. 2020:117723.
6. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA cardiology*. 2020.
7. Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, et al. Characteristics of women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status—United States, January 22–June 7, 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2020;69(25):769.
8. Juusela A, Nazir M, Gimovsky M. Two Cases of COVID-19 Related Cardiomyopathy in Pregnancy. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM*. 2020:100113.
9. Yader Sandoval M, FACC; Allan S. Jaffe, MD, FACC. Key Points About Myocardial Injury and Cardiac Troponin in COVID-19. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/07/17/08/00/key-points-about-myocardial-injury-and-cardiac-troponin-in-covid-19>. Published 2020. Accessed.
10. Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction LVEF Assessment. *American College of Cardiology*.
11. Obstetricians ACo, Gynecologists. The Apgar score (Committee Opinion No. 644). *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;126(4):52-55.
12. Long B, Brady WJ, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. Cardiovascular complications in COVID-19. *The American journal of emergency medicine*. 2020.
13. Nishiga M, Wang DW, Han Y, Lewis DB, Wu JC. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease: from basic mechanisms to clinical perspectives. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*. 2020:1-16.
14. Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA cardiology*. 2020.
15. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Jama*. 2020;323(11):1061-1069.
16. Minga IG, Golemi L, Tafur A, Pursnani A. The Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) and Its Impact on Cardiovascular Disease. *Cardiology in Review*. 2020;28(4):163-176.
17. Szekely Y, Lichter Y, Taieb P, et al. Spectrum of Cardiac Manifestations in COVID-19. *Circulation*. 2020;142(4):342-353.
18. Churchill TW, Bertrand PB, Bernard S, et al. Echocardiographic Features of COVID-19 Illness and Association with Cardiac Biomarkers. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2020;33(8):1053-1054.
19. Jain SS, Liu Q, Raikhelkar J, et al. Indications for and Findings on Transthoracic Echocardiography in COVID-19. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*. 2020.
20. Bansal M. Cardiovascular disease and COVID-19. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*. 2020.
21. Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J, et al. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2020;141(20):1648-1655.

22. Atri D, Siddiqi HK, Lang J, Nauffal V, Morrow DA, Bohula EA. COVID-19 for the cardiologist: a current review of the virology, clinical epidemiology, cardiac and other clinical manifestations and potential therapeutic strategies. *JACC: Basic to Translational Science*. 2020.
23. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *The lancet*. 2020.
24. Lippi G, Lavie CJ, Sanchis-Gomar F. Cardiac troponin I in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases*. 2020.
25. Aboughdir M, Kirwin T, Abdul Khader A, Wang B. Prognostic Value of Cardiovascular Biomarkers in COVID-19: A Review. *Viruses*. 2020;12(5):527.
26. Gao L, Jiang D, Wen X-s, et al. Prognostic value of NT-proBNP in patients with severe COVID-19. *Respiratory research*. 2020;21:1-7.
27. Andrikopoulou M, Madden N, Wen T, et al. Symptoms and critical illness among obstetric patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2020;136(2):291-299.

Table and Figure Legends:

Table 1 represents the baseline and clinical characteristics of 15 pregnant women with COVID-19 induced myocardial injury.

Table 2 Demonstrate Blood tests, ECG and Radiological findings of 15 pregnant women with myocardial injury

Table 3 Demonstrates Delivery information Maternal and Infant outcomes

Table 1 represents the baseline and clinical characteristics of 15 pregnant women with COVID-19 induced myocardial injury.

Patient	Baseline Characteristic			Gestation			Hospitalization			
	Age (Years)	BMI (Kg/m ²)	Chronic Diseases	GA (weeks)	GPAC	Prenatal Period	CC	CC Duration (Days)	ICU Admission	Intubated
1	31	32	None	35	4-3-0-0	Normal	SOB	12	Yes	Yes
2	33	28	None	33	3-1-1-0	Bleeding	DFM	13	Yes	Yes
3	26	26	None	36	2-0-0-1	Normal	SOB	9	Yes	Yes
4	29	30	None	29	2-0-0-1	Normal	Palpitations	9	Yes	Yes
5	22	24	None	29.5	1-0-0-0	Normal	Fatigue	8	Yes	No
6	26	29	None	32.2	5-3-1-0	Normal	SOB	6	Yes	Yes
7	36	23	None	36.5	3-0-0-3	Normal	SOB	12	Yes	Yes
8	38	31	None	33.1	2-0-1-0	Normal	SOB	12	Yes	Yes
9	32	27	None	30.4	4-0-3-0	Bleeding	SOB	13	Yes	Yes
10	35	26	None	34	2-0-0-1	Normal	SOB	13	Yes	Yes
11	19	28	None	28	1-0-0-0	Normal	SOB	13	Yes	No
12	21	27	None	23.3	1-0-0-0	Normal	DFM	11	Yes	Yes
13	33	30	None	36.2	1-0-0-0	Normal	SOB	3	Yes	Yes
14	35	29	None	33	1-0-0-0	Normal	Palpitations	9	Yes	Yes
15	32	32	None	35.4	1-0-0-0	Normal	SOB	6	Yes	Yes
Total	29.87±5.83	28±2.6	15 (100%) Previously Healthy	32.31±3.68		2 (13.3%) Prenatal Bleeding	10 (66.6%) SOB 2 (13.3%) Palpitation 2 (13.3%) DFM 1 (6.6%) Fatigue	9.9±3.13	15 (100%) ICU Admission	13 (86.6%) Intubated

Mean ±SD, count (%), BMI: Body Mass Index, GA: Gestational Age, GPAC: Gravida, Para, Aborta, C-section, CC: Chief Complaint, ICU: Intensive Care Unit, SOB: Shortness of Breath, DFM: Decrease fetal movement

476 **Table 2 Demonstrate Blood tests, ECG and Radiological findings of 15 pregnant women with myocardial injury**

	Blood Test				ECG				Chest X-ray			TTE			
	COVID PCR	COVID ELISA IGM	Troponin (ng/ml)	Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	Rhythm	BBB	ST Depression	T wave inversion	Consolidation	Ground Glass	CTI	LVEF	LAD	LVD	LV Diffuse Hypokinesia
1	Positive	Reactive	14	150	Regular	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.5	37	No	No	Yes
2	Positive	Reactive	9.8	184	Regular	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.49	40	No	No	Yes
3	Positive	Reactive	15	209	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	No	0.5	40	No	No	Yes
4	Positive	Reactive	641	566	Irregular	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.66	22	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	Positive	Reactive	13	135	Regular	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	0.48	38	No	No	Yes
6	Positive	Reactive	189	308	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	0.5	35	No	No	Yes
7	Positive	Reactive	45	225	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	No	0.5	39	No	No	Yes
8	Positive	Reactive	13	246	Regular	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	0.48	42	No	No	Yes
9	Positive	Reactive	12	233	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	No	0.49	44	No	No	Yes
10	Positive	Reactive	55	144	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	No	0.45	37	No	No	Yes
11	Positive	Reactive	35	204	Regular	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	0.44	45	No	No	Yes
12	Positive	Reactive	20	243	Regular	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	0.48	38	No	No	Yes
13	Positive	Reactive	35	166	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	0.49	43	No	No	Yes
14	Positive	Reactive	750	423	Irregular	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.68	25	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Positive	Reactive	44	198	Regular	No	No	No	Yes	No	0.46	40	Yes	No	Yes
Total	5 (100%) PCR Positive	15 (100%) Reactive	15 (100%) Elevated Troponin	15 (100%) Elevated Pro-BNP	2 (13.3%) Irregular Rhythm	5 (33%) BBB	6 (40%) ST Depression	6 (40%) T inversion	15 (100%) Consolidation	6 (40%) Ground Glass	0.5	37± 6	3 (20%) LAD	2 (13.3%) LVD	15 (100%) LV Diffuse Hypokinesia
	All patients have COVID-19 with elevated cardiac enzymes				8 (53.3%) had abnormal ECG, 7 (46.6%) had a normal ECG				All patients had Abnormal Chest X-ray			All patients had abnormal TTE with LV dysfunction			

477
478 *Mean ± SD, count (%), CTI: Cardiothoracic Index, LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction, LAD: Left atrial dilation, LVD: Left ventricular dilation, LV:*
479 *left ventricle, BBB: Bundle Branch Block*
480

481 **Table 3 Demonstrates Delivery information Maternal and Infant outcomes**

Patient	Delivery			Outcome		Infant				
	GA (weeks)	Mode of Delivery	Reason for Delivery	Maternal	Infant	Length (cm)	Weight (Kg)	APGAR At 0/5 min	APGAR Interpretation	NICU Admission
1	37	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	47	2.9	7/8	Normal	No
2	35.3	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	45	2.3	6/8	Moderate Depressed/Normal	No
3	38	C-Section	Prior c-section	Recovered	Healthy	47	2.6	8/9	Normal	No
4	29	C-Section	Fetal Bradycardia	Death	Healthy	37	1.2	5/6	Moderate Depressed/ Normal	Yes
5	33	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	42	2.1	5/6	Moderate Depressed	Yes
6	35.2	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	48	2.9	7/8	Normal	No
7	39	C-Section	Prior c-section	Recovered	Healthy	48	3	7/8	Normal	No
8	35.2	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	48	2.7	7/8	Normal	No
9	32	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	43	1.7	5/6	Moderate Depressed	Yes
10	37	C-Section	Prior c-section	Recovered	Healthy	47	2.5	7/8	Normal	No
11	31	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	42	1.5	5/6	Moderate Depressed	Yes
12	23.3	C-Section	Clinical Instability/Fetal Bradycardia	Recovered	Death	26	0.3	0	-	-
13	38	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	49	3.1	7/8	Normal	No
14	33.3	C-Section	Fetal Bradycardia	Death	Healthy	43	1.9	6/8	Moderate Depressed/Normal	Yes
15	37	C-Section	Clinical Instability	Recovered	Healthy	49	3	7/8	Normal	No
Total	34.2±4	15 (100%) c-section	9 (60%) Clinical Instability 3 (20%) Fetal Bradycardia 3(20%) Prior c-section	2 (13.3%) Maternal Death	1 (6.6%) Fetal Demise	44±5	2.2±0.7	8 (57%) had a normal APGAR at 0 and 5 min 3 (21.5%) had a moderate depressed APGAR at 0 and a normal at 5 min 3 (21.5%) had a moderate depressed APGAR at 0 and 5 min		5 (35.7%) NICU Admission

482 Mean ± SD, count (%), GA: Gestational Age, APGAR: Activity, Pulse, Grimace, Appearance, Respiration, NICU: Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, C-
 483 Section: Cesarean section
 484 Normal APGAR: 7-10, Moderate Depressed APGAR: 4-6, Severe Depressed APGAR: 0-3
 485