# Journal Pre-proof

COVID-19 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study

Kathryn J. Gray, MD PhD, Evan A. Bordt, PhD, Caroline Atyeo, BS, Elizabeth Deriso, PhD, Babatunde Akinwunmi, MD MPH MMSc, Nicola Young, BA, Aranxta Medina Baez, BS, Lydia L. Shook, MD, Dana Cvrk, CNM, Kaitlyn James, PhD, MPH, Rose De Guzman, PhD, Sara Brigida, BA, Khady Diouf, MD, Ilona Goldfarb, MD MPH, Lisa M. Bebell, MD, Lael M. Yonker, MD, Alessio Fasano, MD, S. Alireza Rabi, MD, Michal A. Elovitz, MD, Galit Alter, PhD, Andrea G. Edlow, MD, MSc



PII: S0002-9378(21)00187-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.03.023

Reference: YMOB 13765

To appear in: American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Received Date: 10 March 2021 Revised Date: 21 March 2021 Accepted Date: 22 March 2021

Please cite this article as: Gray KJ, Bordt EA, Atyeo C, Deriso E, Akinwunmi B, Young N, Medina Baez A, Shook LL, Cvrk D, James K, De Guzman R, Brigida S, Diouf K, Goldfarb I, Bebell LM, Yonker LM, Fasano A, Rabi SA, Elovitz MA, Alter G, Edlow AG, COVID-19 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.03.023.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc.

- 1 **TITLE:** COVID-19 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study
- 2 **AUTHORS**: Kathryn J. GRAY, MD PhD<sup>1\*</sup>, Evan A. BORDT, PhD<sup>2\*</sup>, Caroline ATYEO, BS<sup>3,4\*</sup>,
- 3 Elizabeth DERISO, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Babatunde AKINWUNMI, MD MPH MMSc<sup>1</sup>, Nicola YOUNG, BA<sup>5</sup>,
- 4 Aranxta MEDINA BAEZ, BS<sup>5</sup>, Lydia L. SHOOK, MD<sup>5,6</sup>, Dana CVRK, CNM<sup>5</sup>, Kaitlyn JAMES,
- 5 PhD, MPH<sup>5</sup>, Rose DE GUZMAN, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Sara BRIGIDA, BA<sup>5</sup>, Khady DIOUF, MD<sup>1</sup>, Ilona
- 6 GOLDFARB, MD MPH<sup>5</sup>, Lisa M. BEBELL, MD<sup>7</sup>, Lael M.YONKER, MD<sup>8</sup>, Alessio FASANO,
- 7 MD<sup>8</sup>, S. Alireza RABI, MD<sup>9</sup>, Michal A. ELOVITZ, MD<sup>10</sup>, Galit ALTER, PhD\*\*<sup>3</sup>, Andrea G.
- 8 EDLOW, MD, MSc\*\*<sup>5,6</sup>

# 9 **AFFILIATIONS:**

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical
- 11 School, Boston, MA, USA
- 12 <sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Lurie Center for Autism, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard
- 13 Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- 14 <sup>3</sup> Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT, and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA
- <sup>4</sup> PhD Program in Virology, Division of Medical Sciences, Harvard University, Boston, MA,
- 16 USA
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical
- 18 School, Boston, MA, USA
- 19 <sup>6</sup> Vincent Center for Reproductive Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
- <sup>20</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, MGH Center for Global
- 21 Health, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

<sup>8</sup> Mucosal Immunology and Biology Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, 22 23 MA; Department of Pediatrics, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; Harvard Medical 24 School, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>9</sup> Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical 25 School, Boston, MA, USA 26 <sup>10</sup> Maternal and Child Health Research Center, Perelman School of Medicine, University of 27 Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA 28 29 \*These authors contributed equally to this work \*\*These authors contributed equally to this work 30 31 32 **DISCLOSURES:** KJG has consulted for Illumina, BillionToOne, and Aetion outsite the submitted work. AF 33 reported serving as a cofounder of and owning stock in Alba Therapeutics and serving on 34 scientific advisory boards for NextCure and Viome outside the submitted work. GA reported 35 serving as a founder of Systems Seromyx. MAE reported serving as medical advisor for Mirvie. 36 All other authors report no conflicts of interest. 37 38 **SOURCES OF FUNDING:** 39 This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, including NICHD (grants 40 41 R01HD100022 and 3R01HD100022-02S20-to AGE), NHLBI (grants K08HL1469630-02 and 42 3K08HL146963-02S1-to KJG). Additional support was provided by NIAID (3R37AI080289-11S1, R01AI146785, U19AI42790-01, U19AI135995-02, U19AI42790-01, 1U01CA260476 -43 01- to GA; R01A1145840-supplement to MAE), the Gates Foundation, the Massachusetts 44

45	Consortium on Pathogen Readiness (MassCPR), the Musk Foundation, the Ragon Institute of		
46	MGH and MIT and the Massachusetts General Hospital and Brigham and Women's Hospital		
47	Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology.		
48			
49	CORRESPONDING AUTHORS:		
50	Galit Alter		
51	Ragon Institute		
52	Massachusetts General Hospital		
53	400 Technology Square, Cambridge MA 02139		
54	Ph: 857-268-7003 (w, preferred) 617-233-1122 (c)		
55	Email: galter@mgh.harvard.edu		
56			
57	Andrea Edlow		
58	Massachusetts General Hospital		
59	55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA, 02114		
60	Ph: 617-724-2229		
61	Email: aedlow@mgh.harvard.edu		
62			
63	Word count: 3426; Abstract: 337		
64			

65	<b>CONDENSATION:</b> COVID-19 vaccination confers a robust humoral response in pregnant and		
66	lactating women and immune transfer to neonates via placenta and breastmilk.		
67			
86	SHORT TITLE: COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy and lactation		
69			
70	AJOG at a GLANCE:		
71	<b>A. Why was this study conducted?</b> Because pregnant and lactating women were excluded from		
72	initial COVID-19 vaccine trials, data are lacking regarding vaccine efficacy and infant humoral		
73	protection in this population.		
74			
75	B. What are the key findings? Pregnant and lactating women elicited comparable vaccine-		
76	induced humoral immune responses to non-pregnant controls, and generated higher antibody		
77	titers than those observed following SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy. Vaccine-generated		
	thers than those observed following SAKS-Cov-2 infection in pregnancy. Vaccine-generated		
78	antibodies were present in umbilical cord blood and breastmilk after maternal vaccination.		
78 79			
79	antibodies were present in umbilical cord blood and breastmilk after maternal vaccination.		
79 80	antibodies were present in umbilical cord blood and breastmilk after maternal vaccination.  C. What does this study add to what is already known? This study provides the first data		
79 80 81	antibodies were present in umbilical cord blood and breastmilk after maternal vaccination.  C. What does this study add to what is already known? This study provides the first data from a large cohort on maternal antibody generation in response to COVID-19 vaccination,		

# 85 **ABSTRACT** 86 **Background:** Pregnant and lactating women were excluded from initial COVID-19 vaccine 87 88 trials; thus, data to guide vaccine decision-making are lacking. 89 Objectives: To evaluate the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA 90 91 vaccination in pregnant and lactating women compared to: (1) non-pregnant controls and (2) 92 natural COVID-19 infection in pregnancy. 93 94 Study Design: 131 reproductive-age vaccine recipients (84 pregnant, 31 lactating, and 16 nonpregnant) were enrolled in a prospective cohort study at two academic medical centers. Titers of 95 SARS-CoV-2 Spike and RBD IgG, IgA and IgM were quantified in participant sera (N=131) and 96 97 breastmilk (N=31) at baseline, second vaccine dose, 2-6 weeks post second vaccine, and at delivery by Luminex. Umbilical cord sera (N=10) titers were assessed at delivery. Titers were 98 99 compared to those of pregnant women 4-12 weeks from natural infection (N=37) by ELISA. A 100 pseudovirus neutralization assay was used to quantify neutralizing antibody titers for the subset 101 of women who delivered during the study period. Post-vaccination symptoms were assessed via 102 questionnaire. Kruskal-Wallis tests and a mixed effects model, with correction for multiple 103 comparisons, were used to assess differences between groups. 104 105 **Results:** Vaccine-induced antibody titers were equivalent in pregnant and lactating compared to 106 non-pregnant women (median [IQR] 5.59 [4.68-5.89] pregnant, 5.74 [5.06-6.22] lactating, 5.62 107 [4.77-5.98] non-pregnant, p = 0.24). All titers were significantly higher than those induced by

SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy (p < 0.0001). Vaccine-generated antibodies were present in all umbilical cord blood and breastmilk samples. Neutralizing antibody titers were lower in umbilical cord compared to maternal sera, although this finding did not achieve statistical significance (median [IQR] 104.7 [61.2-188.2] maternal sera, 52.3 [11.7-69.6] cord sera, p=0.05). The second vaccine dose (boost dose) increased SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG, but not IgA, in maternal blood and breastmilk. No differences were noted in reactogenicity across the groups.

Conclusions: COVID-19 mRNA vaccines generated robust humoral immunity in pregnant and lactating women, with immunogenicity and reactogenicity similar to that observed in non-pregnant women. Vaccine-induced immune responses were significantly greater than the response to natural infection. Immune transfer to neonates occurred via placenta and breastmilk.

KEYWORDS: Antibodies; breastfeeding; breastmilk; cord blood; COVID-19 vaccine; maternal

immunity, mRNA; neonatal immunity; pregnancy

#### INTRODUCTION

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

More than 73,600 infections and 80 maternal deaths have occurred in pregnant women in the United States alone as of March 1, 2021<sup>1</sup>. SARS-CoV-2 infection is more severe in pregnant women compared to their non-pregnant counterparts, with an increased risk of hospital admission, ICU stay, and death<sup>2</sup>. Despite their higher risk, pregnant and lactating women were not included in any initial coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) vaccine trials, although the first vaccine trial began in pregnant women in February of 2021 (Pfizer/BioNTech, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04754594). The COVID-19 pandemic has given rise to hundreds of vaccine platforms in development to fight SARS-CoV-2<sup>3,4</sup>. However, few of these platforms have been tested or are specifically designed to elicit immunity in vulnerable populations, including pregnant women. Pregnant women have long been left out of therapeutic and vaccine research, reportedly due to heightened safety concerns in this population<sup>5–8</sup>. Although the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) encouraged the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to include pregnant women in the COVID-19 vaccine emergency use authorization (EUA) due to the risk of increased disease severity in this population, evidence about vaccine immunogenicity to guide patient decision-making and provider counseling is lacking <sup>9-11</sup>. Specifically, given the novelty of the first emergency approved COVID-19 vaccines, both of which utilize mRNA to deliver SARS-CoV-2 Spike to educate the immune system<sup>12,13</sup>, it remains unclear whether this novel vaccine approach will drive immunity in the context of pregnancy, and whether antibodies will be transferred

efficiently to neonates via the cord and breastmilk. Here, vaccine-induced immunity was profiled

146 in vaccinated pregnant, lactating and non-pregnant controls compared to women infected with 147 SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy. 148 MATERIALS AND METHODS 149 150 **Study Design** 151 Women at two tertiary care centers were approached for enrollment in an IRB-approved 152 COVID-19 pregnancy and lactation biorepository study between December 17, 2020 and 153 February 23, 2021. Eligible women were: (1) pregnant; (2) lactating; or (3) non-pregnant and of 154 reproductive age (18-45); 18 years old, able to provide informed consent, and receiving the 155 COVID-19 vaccine. 156 157 **Participants and Procedures** Eligible study participants were identified by practitioners at the participating hospitals or were 158 159 self-referred. A study questionnaire was administered to assess pregnancy and lactation status, history of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, timing of COVID-19 vaccine doses, type of COVID-19 160 vaccine received (BNT162b2 Pfizer/BioNTech or mRNA-1273 Moderna/NIH), and side effects 161 162 after each vaccine dose (injection site soreness, injection site skin reaction/rash, headache, 163 myalgias, fatigue, fever/chills, allergic reaction, or other (reaction detailed). A cumulative 164 symptom/reactogenicity score was generated by assigning one point to each side effect. 165 166 **Sample Collection and Processing** Blood and breastmilk from lactating women were collected at: V0 (at the time of first vaccine 167 168 dose/baseline), V1 (at the time of second vaccine dose/"prime" profile), V2 (2-6 weeks

following the 2<sup>nd</sup> vaccine dose/"boost" profile), and at delivery (for pregnant participants who delivered during the study timeframe). Umbilical cord blood was also collected at delivery for pregnant participants. The V2 timepoint reflects full antibody complement, achieved one week after Pfizer/BioNTech and two weeks after Moderna/NIH<sup>12,13</sup>. Blood was collected by venipuncture (or from the umbilical vein following delivery for cord blood) into serum separator tubes. Blood was centrifuged at 1000g for 10 min at room temperature. Sera were aliquoted into cryogenic vials and stored at -80°C. Breastmilk was collected by the lactating participant into study-provided breastmilk bottles or breastmilk bags depending on volume. Breastmilk was centrifuged at 2000 rpm at 4°C for 25 minutes, supernatant was aliquoted into cryogenic vials and stored at -80°C.

### **Antibody Quantification**

Antibody quantification was performed as described previously<sup>14</sup>. Briefly, a multiplexed Luminex assay was used to determine relative titer of antigen-specific isotypes and subclasses using the following antigens: SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain (RBD), S1, and S2 (all Sino Biological), and SARS-CoV-2 Spike (LakePharma). Antigen-specific antibody titers were log10 transformed for time course analyses. PBS background intensity was reported for each antigen as a threshold for positivity. Titers resulting from natural infection and vaccination-induced antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 RBD and Spike were quantified from the same plate using ELISA as previously described<sup>15</sup>. Additional detail regarding antibody quantification may be found in Supplemental Methods.

### **Antibody Neutralization Assay**

On the morning of the experiment, 17,000 ACE2 cells were plated in each well of a flat-bottom 96-well plate in 100 µl of D10 (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) +10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)). Six hours later, the serum samples were heat-inactivated by incubation at 56°C for 1 hour. A solution containing virus at 1.9 ng equivalent of p24 per µl was prepared in D10. The heat-inactivated serum was diluted in this virus-containing media 1:5 fold and then 3-fold serial dilutions were done in the same virus-containing media. The virus and serum samples were incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. 50 µl of the virus-serum mix was then added to the ACE2 cells. The lowest final dilution of each serum sample is therefore 15-fold. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours, and the RFP was quantified using the flow cytometer (BD Accuri<sup>TM</sup> C6). Additional details about this assay may be found in the Supplemental Methods.

### **Statistical Analyses**

Participant characteristics were summarized with frequency statistics. Continuous outcome measures were reported as either mean (standard deviation [SD]) or median (interquartile range [IQR]). Correlation analyses were performed using Spearman coefficients. Within and between group analyses of log10 transformed antibody levels in serum or breastmilk across multiple timepoints were evaluated by a repeated measures mixed effects (REML) model, followed by post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Differences between paired maternal and cord sera IgG and neutralization titers were evaluated by Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 and Stata/IC version 16.1.

#### RESULTS

From December 17, 2020 to March 2, 2021, samples were obtained from 131 enrolled participants: 84 pregnant, 31 lactating, and 16 non-pregnant reproductive-aged women. Of the pregnant vaccine recipients, 13 delivered during the study timeframe, and cord blood was collected at delivery from 10. Banked sera from 37 pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 in pregnancy and enrolled between March 24, 2020 and December 11, 2020 were included as a second comparison group.

# **Participant characteristics**

Participant demographic and clinical characteristics, sampling timepoints, and side effect profiles are presented in Table 1. The study population consisted primarily of White, non-Hispanic women, reflecting the healthcare worker population at the two hospitals. Five total participants reported prior SARS-CoV-2 infection: 2 pregnant, 2 lactating, 1 non-pregnant. Characteristics of the comparison group with natural SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. These participants all had symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 with known timing of infection.

### **Vaccination characteristics**

At the time of the study, two COVID-19 vaccines had received EUA: Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna. Both vaccines use mRNA to deliver the SARS-CoV-2 Spike antigen to the immune system<sup>12,13</sup>, representing a novel vaccine platform never before tested in pregnancy. While mRNA vaccines have shown highly effective immune induction in non-pregnant adults, the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of this platform in pregnancy remains unclear. Equivalent numbers of pregnant women receiving the Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccines were included in our study. Of pregnant participants, the mean gestational age at first vaccine dose

was 23.2 weeks, with 11 women (13%) receiving their first vaccine dose in the first trimester, 39 (46%) in the second trimester, and 34 (40%) in the third trimester. Side effect profiles between participant groups following vaccination were similar and are detailed in Table 1. The cumulative symptom score after the first dose in all three groups was low. After the second dose, there was no significant difference between groups with respect to cumulative symptom score (median (IQR) 2 (1-3), 3 (2-4), and 2.5 (1-4.5) in pregnant, lactating, and non-pregnant groups respectively, p = 0.40). Vaccine-related fevers/chills were reported by 32% (25/77) of pregnant women after the boost dose and 50% (8/16) of non-pregnant (p=0.25).

# Delivery outcomes and characteristics of lactating women

Delivery information for the 13 pregnant participants who delivered during the study period is detailed in Table 2. All 13 were vaccinated in the third trimester. Three women delivered at hospitals other than the study sites and cord blood samples were not available. Of the ten umbilical cord blood samples available for analysis, 9/10 mothers had received both vaccine doses (median (IQR) 36.5 days (30-42) from first vaccine and 14 days (11-16) from second vaccine). One participant delivered 17 days after vaccine 1, with spontaneous preterm labor at 35 weeks' gestation. Lactating participant characteristics are detailed in Table 2.

# The maternal vaccine response

IgM, IgG, and IgA responses to the Spike (S), receptor binding domain (RBD), S1-segment of S, and S2- segment of S were measured. A significant rise in all isotypes across all antigens was observed from V0 to V1, with a further rise in IgG levels from V1 to V2 in both the pregnant and lactating groups (**Fig 1A-D and Supplemental Fig 1**). Spike titers rose more rapidly than RBD-titers after the first (V1/prime timepoint) and second (V2/boost timepoint) vaccine dose, but the

magnitude of the response did not differ across pregnant or lactating women. In contrast to IgG responses, IgM and IgA responses were induced robustly after the prime, and were poorly induced after boosting, across all groups (Fig 1C and D). Higher S- and RBD-specific IgA responses were noted in Moderna vaccinees compared to Pfizer/BioNTech vaccinees (Supplemental Fig 2A-C), potentially related to the extended boosting window used for the Moderna vaccine. By 2 weeks post-second vaccine, the dominant serum antibody response was IgG for pregnant, lactating, and non-pregnant women (Fig 1E and Supplemental Fig 1C). Vaccine-induced maternal antibody titers in sera did not differ by trimester of vaccination (Supplemental Fig 3). Strikingly higher levels of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies were observed in all vaccinated women compared to pregnant women with natural infection 4-12 weeks prior (Fig 1F, Kruskal Wallis p<0.001), highlighting the robust humoral immune responses induced by mRNA vaccination.

### Impact of maternal vaccination on breastmilk antibody transfer

mRNA vaccination resulted in the induction of antibodies in the circulation of vaccinated women (**Fig 1**). However, whether these antibodies were transferred efficiently to infants remained unclear. Thus, we next examined the levels of antibodies in breastmilk of lactating mothers (**Fig 2 A-C**). Robust induction of IgG, IgA, and IgM were observed following the prime and boost. Interestingly, IgA and IgM levels did not increase with boosting, in synchrony with a minimal boost in these isotypes in serum (**Fig 1C/D and Supplemental Fig 1A-E**). However, a boost in breastmilk IgG levels was observed (**Fig 2A**), concomitant with the boost observed systemically/in maternal serum (**Fig 1A**). IgG1 RBD rose significantly from V0 to V2 (3.44 to 3.50, p = 0.002) but not V0 to V1 (3.44 to 3.45, p=0.7) in breastmilk, and there was no significant rise in anti-RBD IgA or IgM in breastmilk after either dose (**Supplemental Fig 4**).

Overall these data suggest that the boost may drive enhanced breastmilk-transfer of IgG, in the setting of consistent unboosted IgA transfer.

### Impact of maternal vaccination on placental antibody transfer

Maternal IgG is also capable of crossing the placenta to confer immunity to the neonate. Spike-and RBD-specific IgG were detectable in 10/10 umbilical cords after maternal vaccination (**Fig 2D/E**). The cord with the lowest Spike- and RBD-specific IgG belonged to a mother who delivered between the first and second vaccine doses and had received her first vaccine dose 17 days prior to delivery, suggesting that 2 doses may be essential to optimize humoral immune transfer to the neonate. Neutralizing antibody (NAb) titers were lower in umbilical cord than maternal serum, although this finding did not achieve statistical significance (**Fig 2F**, median IQR] 104.7 [61.2-188.2] maternal sera, 52.3 [11.7-69.6] cord sera, p=0.05). Two umbilical cords had undetectable NAbs: in one case the mother had not yet received vaccine 2 (17 days from V1), in the other the mother was 7 days from boost dose. Interestingly, there was a significant improvement of transfer of S-, but not RBD-, specific IgG1 into the cord with time from boost (**Fig 2D/E**), suggesting that time from vaccination may be an important determinant of transfer rates of specific IgG subpopulations following immunization in pregnancy (**Supplemental Fig 5A/B**).

### Vaccine reactogenicity in pregnancy and lactation

Composite reactogenicity score after boost dose of vaccine was significantly positively correlated with both maternal serum and breastmilk antibody titers. Composite symptom score after vaccination was significantly positively correlated with maternal serum Spike- and RBD-specific IgG1 and IgG3, breastmilk anti-Spike IgG1, IgG3 and IgA, and breastmilk anti-RBD

IgG1 (Supplemental Table 2). Within the pregnant women, medical comorbidities were not significantly associated with maternal serum antibody titers, although there were relatively few medical comorbidities in this group.

#### **DISCUSSION**

### **Principal Findings**

Here, robust and comparable IgG titers were observed across pregnant, lactating, and non-pregnant controls, all of which were significantly higher than those observed in pregnant women with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Boosting resulted in augmented IgG levels in the blood, translating to transfer of IgG to the neonate through the placenta and breastmilk.

#### Results

The lack of boosting of IgM was likely related to an expected class switching to IgG, observed with increasing IgG titers observed following the boost. Conversely, the lack of boosting of IgA observed across all women in this study was unexpected. This lack of IgA augmentation may be related to the intramuscular administration of the vaccine, which triggers a robust induction of systemic, but not mucosal, antibodies. However, higher levels of IgA were noted after the boost in pregnant Moderna recipients, potentially attributable to enhanced class switching following a longer boosting interval. Robust IgG levels were noted in all vaccinees, and vaccine-induced IgG was transferred across the placenta to the fetus, as has been noted in the setting of influenza, pertussis, and other vaccination in pregnancy<sup>16–18</sup>. The presence of neutralizing antibody transfer in nearly all cords, and improved transfer with increased time from vaccination, points to the promise of mRNA vaccine-induced delivery of immunity to neonates. Transfer would perhaps be optimized if vaccination is administered earlier during gestation, though this needs to be directly

examined in future studies. While the transferred levels of IgA through breastmilk did not increase with boosting, IgG transfer increased significantly with boost, resulting in the delivery of high levels of IgG to the neonate through breastmilk. Importantly, emerging data point to a critical role for breastmilk IgG in neonatal immunity against several other vaccinatable viral pathogens including HIV, RSV, and influenza<sup>19–21</sup>. In contrast, IgA dominates breastmilk profiles in natural SARS-CoV-2 infection<sup>22</sup>. The different isotype transfer profile for breastmilk (IgG in vaccine, IgA in natural infection) likely reflects differences in antibody profile programming across mucosally-acquired natural SARS-CoV-2 infection versus intra-muscular vaccination. Whether breastmilk IgG or IgA will be more critical for neonatal protection remains unclear.

Based on what is known about other vaccines, the amount of maternal IgG transferred across the placenta to the cord is likely to differ by trimester of vaccination<sup>16,17</sup>. Based on data from natural infection<sup>14</sup>, qualitative changes in vaccine-elicited antibodies are likely to profoundly alter antibody transfer, and immunization with a de novo antigen earlier in pregnancy is likely to increase placental transfer. Understanding vaccine-induced antibody transfer kinetics across all pregnancy trimesters will be an important direction for future research. While timing maternal COVID-19 vaccination may not be possible during this phase of the pandemic, understanding optimal timing of vaccination to augment neonatal humoral immunity remains important. Unlike vaccines that aim to boost pre-existing antibodies (e.g influenza and pertussis vaccines), optimal timing for de novo vaccine administration remains unclear. Thus, as the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 community spread decreases, different factors such as optimizing neonatal immunity via

placental or breastmilk transfer may be weighted more heavily to inform future vaccine deployment.

Following EUA for the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines, safety information has been tracked by the CDC using the V-safe smartphone application. Consistent with our observations, the V-safe data indicate no significant differences in post-vaccination reactions in pregnant vs. non-pregnant women aged 16-54 years<sup>23</sup>. While the side effect profile of pregnant women receiving the COVID-19 vaccines was not significantly different from non-pregnant women, the relatively high incidence of fever (up to 32% following the second dose), raises a theoretical concern for pregnant recipients<sup>24,25</sup>, although the level of risk remains controversial<sup>26</sup>.

#### **Clinical Implications**

When considering vaccination in pregnancy, evidence regarding maternal and fetal benefit, as well as potential maternal and fetal harm and effects on pregnancy outcomes should be weighed carefully. While the absolute risk of severe COVID-19 is low in pregnant women, pregnancy is a risk factor for severe disease<sup>27,28</sup>. There are well-documented maternal, neonatal, and obstetric risks of SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy<sup>29–33</sup>. These data provide a compelling argument that COVID-19 mRNA vaccines induce similar humoral immunity in pregnant and lactating women as in the non-pregnant population. These data do not elucidate potential risks to the fetus.

### **Research Implications**

Future studies, in larger populations spanning vaccine administration across all three trimesters and evaluating associated fetal/neonatal transfer of IgG via cord and breastmilk, may enhance our ability to develop evidence-based recommendations for the administration of vaccines, and particularly different platforms, during pregnancy. While limited evidence of antibody-dependent enhancement has been observed in the context of pre-existing natural or vaccine immunity in adults, future studies should carefully examine the impact of transferred immunity on infant immune response, and should define the optimal window for immunization to empower infants with robust immunity.

# **Strengths and Limitations**

This study was limited by the select population of primarily healthcare workers from one US city, the focused time frame with limited number of delivered participants, inability to assess persistent immunity, and the exclusive focus on antibody titers rather than T cell-driven or other functional immunity. Future work examining T cells and other immune functions may provide additional insights on mRNA vaccine-induced immunity in pregnancy and lactation. The strengths of this work include: the provision of longitudinal data profiling vaccine-induced immune response across contemporaneously-recruited pregnant, lactating, and non-pregnant women; the ability to compare vaccine-induced IgG titers to those from prior SARS-CoV-2 infection; and the inclusion of 10 maternal/neonatal dyads, demonstrating transfer of vaccine-induced IgG (including NAbs) to the neonate, with improved cord titers achieved as interval from vaccination increased.

# Conclusions

COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy and lactation generated robust humoral immunity similar to
that observed in non-pregnant women with similar side effect profiles. While humoral immune
response and side effects are only two of many considerations for pregnant women and their care
providers in weighing whether or not to be vaccinated against COVID-19 in pregnancy, these
data confirm that the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines result in comparable humoral immune
responses in pregnant and lactating women to those observed in non-pregnant populations.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: We thank Dr. Anjali Kaimal and Dr. Jeff Ecker for their assistance
starting the COVID-19 Pregnancy Biorepository at Massachusetts General Hospital.

#### 406 **REFERENCES:**

- 407 1. CDC. COVID Data Tracker. Published March 28, 2020. Accessed March 6, 2021.
- 408 https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/
- 2. Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, et al. Update: Characteristics of Symptomatic Women
- of Reproductive Age with Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy
- Status United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.
- 412 2020;69(44):1641-1647.
- 413 3. Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. *Nature*. 2020;586(7830):516-527.
- 414 4. Creech CB, Walker SC, Samuels RJ. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. JAMA. Published online
- 415 February 26, 2021. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3199
- 416 5. Bianchi DW, Kaeser L, Cernich AN. Involving Pregnant Individuals in Clinical Research
- on COVID-19 Vaccines. *JAMA*. Published online February 10, 2021.
- 418 doi:10.1001/jama.2021.1865
- 419 6. Riley LE, Jamieson DJ. Inclusion of Pregnant and Lactating Persons in COVID-19
- 420 Vaccination Efforts. *Ann Intern Med.* Published online January 26, 2021. doi:10.7326/M21-
- 421 0173
- 422 7. Beigi RH, Krubiner C, Jamieson DJ, et al. The need for inclusion of pregnant women in
- 423 COVID-19 vaccine trials. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(6):868-870.
- 424 8. Klein SL, Creisher PS, Burd I. COVID-19 vaccine testing in pregnant females is necessary.
- 425 *J Clin Invest.* 2021;131(5). doi:10.1172/JCI147553

- 426 9. Minkoff H, Ecker J. Balancing risks: making decisions for maternal treatment without data
- on fetal safety. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Published online February 1, 2021.
- 428 doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2021.01.025
- 429 10. Stafford IA, Parchem JG, Sibai BM. The coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine in pregnancy:
- risks, benefits, and recommendations. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Published online January 30,
- 431 2021. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2021.01.022
- 432 11. Zipursky JS, Greenberg RA, Maxwell C, Bogler T. Pregnancy, breastfeeding and the
- SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: an ethics-based framework for shared decision-making. *CMAJ*.
- 434 2021;193(9):E312-E314.
- 435 12. Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E, et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human
- 436 antibody and TH1 T cell responses. *Nature*. 2020;586(7830):594-599.
- 437 13. Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, et al. An mRNA Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 -
- 438 Preliminary Report. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(20):1920-1931.
- 439 14. Atyeo C, Pullen KM, Bordt EA, et al. Compromised SARS-CoV-2-specific placental
- antibody transfer. *Cell*. 2021;184(3):628-642.e10.
- 15. Edlow AG, Li JZ, Collier A-RY, et al. Assessment of Maternal and Neonatal SARS-CoV-2
- Viral Load, Transplacental Antibody Transfer, and Placental Pathology in Pregnancies
- 443 During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(12):e2030455.
- 16. Palmeira P, Quinello C, Silveira-Lessa AL, Zago CA, Carneiro-Sampaio M. IgG placental
- transfer in healthy and pathological pregnancies. *Clin Dev Immunol*. 2012;2012:985646.

- 446 17. Wilcox CR, Holder B, Jones CE. Factors Affecting the FcRn-Mediated Transplacental
- Transfer of Antibodies and Implications for Vaccination in Pregnancy. *Front Immunol*.
- 448 2017;8:1294.
- 18. Fouda GG, Martinez DR, Swamy GK, Permar SR. The Impact of IgG transplacental
- 450 transfer on early life immunity. *Immunohorizons*. 2018;2(1):14-25.
- 451 19. Fouda GG, Yates NL, Pollara J, et al. HIV-specific functional antibody responses in breast
- 452 milk mirror those in plasma and are primarily mediated by IgG antibodies. *J Virol*.
- 453 2011;85(18):9555-9567.
- 454 20. Mazur NI, Horsley NM, Englund JA, et al. Breast Milk Prefusion F Immunoglobulin G as a
- 455 Correlate of Protection Against Respiratory Syncytial Virus Acute Respiratory Illness. J
- 456 *Infect Dis.* 2019;219(1):59-67.
- 457 21. Demers-Mathieu V, Huston RK, Markell AM, McCulley EA, Martin RL, Dallas DC.
- Impact of pertussis-specific IgA, IgM, and IgG antibodies in mother's own breast milk and
- donor breast milk during preterm infant digestion. *Pediatric Research*. Published online
- 460 2020. doi:10.1038/s41390-020-1031-2
- 461 22. Pace RM, Williams JE, Järvinen KM, et al. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 RNA,
- Antibodies, and Neutralizing Capacity in Milk Produced by Women with COVID-19.
- 463 *MBio*. 2021;12(1). doi:10.1128/mBio.03192-20
- 464 23. CDC. Vaccine Safety. V-safe after vaccination health checker. Accessed March 6, 2021.
- 465 https://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety

- 466 24. Graham JM Jr, Edwards MJ, Edwards MJ. Teratogen update: gestational effects of maternal
- hyperthermia due to febrile illnesses and resultant patterns of defects in humans.
- 468 *Teratology*. 1998;58(5):209-221.
- 469 25. Dreier JW, Andersen A-MN, Berg-Beckhoff G. Systematic review and meta-analyses: fever
- in pregnancy and health impacts in the offspring. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(3):e674-e688.
- 26. Sass L, Urhoj SK, Kjærgaard J, Dreier JW, Strandberg-Larsen K, Nybo Andersen A-M.
- Fever in pregnancy and the risk of congenital malformations: a cohort study. *BMC*
- 473 *Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2017;17(1):413.
- 474 27. Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, et al. Characteristics of Women of Reproductive Age with
- 475 Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy Status United States, January
- 476 22-June 7, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(25):769-775.
- 28. Douedi S, Miskoff J. Novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19): A case report and review of
- 478 treatments. *Medicine* . 2020;99(19):e20207.
- 479 29. DeBolt CA, Bianco A, Limaye MA, et al. Pregnant women with severe or critical
- 480 coronavirus disease 2019 have increased composite morbidity compared with nonpregnant
- matched controls. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Published online November 20, 2020.
- 482 doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2020.11.022
- 483 30. Hantoushzadeh S, Shamshirsaz AA, Aleyasin A, et al. Maternal death due to COVID-19.
- 484 *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;223(1):109.e1-e109.e16.
- 485 31. Pierce-Williams RAM, Burd J, Felder L, et al. Clinical course of severe and critical

486		coronavirus disease 2019 in hospitalized pregnancies: a United States cohort study. Am $J$
487		Obstet Gynecol MFM. 2020;2(3):100134.
488	32.	Juan J, Gil MM, Rong Z, Zhang Y, Yang H, Poon LC. Effect of coronavirus disease 2019
489		(COVID-19) on maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcome: systematic review. Ultrasound
490		Obstet Gynecol. 2020;56(1):15-27.
491	33.	Dashraath P, Wong JLJ, Lim MXK, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic
492		and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2020;222(6):521-531.
493		

494	GLOSSARY OF TERMS		
495	<b>SARS-CoV-2:</b> a single-stranded RNA virus that causes COVID-19.		
496	SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: a virus surface protein that mediates viral entry into cells and is		
497	composed of S1 and S2 subunits.		
498	SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain (RBD): a region of the spike protein that binds to the		
499	ACE2 (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2) receptor on human cells for viral entry into cells.		
500	SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid (N) antigen: an antigen important for eliciting antibodies against		
501	SARS-CoV-2 during infection. A critical protein in many parts of the viral life cycle.		
502	COVID-19 mRNA vaccine: a vaccine designed by packaging messenger RNA (mRNA) that		
503	encodes for the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein into an injection. The mRNA elicits an immune		
504	response against the spike protein which allows a vaccinated individual's immune system to		
505	become trained to recognize the spike protein and prevent infection with SARS-CoV-2.		
506	Antibody titers: a measurement of the antibody levels generated in response to exposure to an		
507	antigen.		
508	Immunoglobulins (IgG, IgM, IgA): antibodies are referred to by immunoglobulin type,		
509	including IgG, IgM and IgA. IgG is the most abundant type of immunoglobulin it is found in		
510	all body fluids and can cross the placenta. IgM is primarily found in blood and lymph and is the		
511	first type of antibody to be generated in response to a new infection. IgA is found in mucous		
512	membranes including the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts, as well as saliva and tears. IgA is		
513	the main type of antibody found in breastmilk.		
514	<b>Prime vaccine dose:</b> the first dose of a vaccine that "primes" the body to respond to a		
515	subsequent exposure.		

516	Boost vaccine dose: an additional dose of vaccine given to "boost" the immune system. A boost			
517	dose is currently given for both approved COVID-19 mRNA vaccines 3-4 weeks after the prime			
518	vaccine dose.			
519	Immunogenicity: the ability of a foreign substance (e.g., antigen or vaccine) to elicit an immun			
520	response in an individual.			
521	Reactogenicity: the degree of physical effects following vaccination due to the body's immune			
522	response. These include the adverse reaction of fever and injection site soreness/ pain.			
523	response. These include the adverse reaction of fever and injection site soreness/ pain.			

# **Table 1. Cohort Demographic Characteristics**

Characteristic	Non-pregnant	Pregnant	Lactating
	(n=16), N (%)	(n=84), N (%)	(n=31), N (%)
Participant age, mean (SD), y	38.4 (8.3)	34.1 (3.3)	34.6 (2.6)
Race			
White	12 (75%)	75 (89%)	27 (87%)
Black	2 (12%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)
Asian	0 (0%)	6 (7%)	2 (6%)
Multi-racial	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (3%)
Other	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Unknown	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Ethnicity			
Hispanic or Latino	0 (0%)	5 (6%)	2 (6%)
Not Hispanic or Latino	14 (88%)	79 (94%)	28 (90%)
Unknown/ not reported	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Maternal co-morbidities			
Chronic hypertension	1 (6%)	3 (4%)	3 (10%)
Diabetes/ gestational	0 (0%)	3 (4%)	3 (10%)
diabetes	2 (12%)	10 (12%)	3 (10%)
BMI > 30	2 (12%)	16 (19%)	7 (23%)
Asthma	0 (0%)	3 (4%)	0 (0%)
Immunosuppression / cancer		, ,	,
Prior SARS-CoV-2 infection	1 (6%)	2 (2%)	2 (6%)
Vaccine type		,	,
Pfizer-BioNTech	8 (50%)	41 (49%)	16 (52%)
Moderna	8 (50%)	43 (51%)	15 (48%)
Gestational age at 1 <sup>st</sup> vaccine	n/a	23.2 (16.3, 32.1)	n/a
dose	.,, .,		., -
Trimester of 1 <sup>st</sup> vaccine dose	n/a		n/a
- 1 <sup>st</sup>	.,, &	11 (13%)	.,, ~
- 2 <sup>nd</sup>		39 (46%)	
- 3 <sup>rd</sup>		34 (40%)	
Timepoints for blood collection		3.(1070)	
- Baseline/ at 1 <sup>st</sup> dose (V0)	1 (6%)	31 (37%)	14 (45%)
- At 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (V1)	15 (94%)	78 (93%)	26 (84%)
- 2-5.5 weeks after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	16 (100%)	17 (20%)	13 (42%)
(V2)	10 (10070)	17 (2070)	10 ( <del>1</del> 2 /0)
Timepoints for milk collection			
- Baseline/ at 1 <sup>st</sup> dose (V0)		3 (4%)	16 (52%)
- At 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (V1)		26 (31%)	28 (90%)
- 2-5.5 weeks after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose		0 (0%)	13 (42%)
(V2)		. ,	• •
Side effects at 1 <sup>st</sup> vaccine	40 (750/)	70 (000()	00 (070()
dose <sup>a</sup>	12 (75%)	73 (88%)	20 (67%)

Injection oite cores	0 (00()	4 (40/)	0 (00()
- Injection site soreness	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
<ul> <li>Injection site reaction/rash</li> </ul>	5 (31%)	7 (8%)	9 (30%)
- Headache	2 (12%)	2 (2%)	4 (13%)
- Muscle aches - Fatigue	6 (38%)	12 (14%)	4 (13%)
- Faugue - Fever/chills	1 (6%)	1 (1%)	1 (3%)
- Allergic reaction	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
- Other <sup>b</sup>	2 (6%)	3 (4%)	0 (0%)
Side effects at 2nd vaccine			
dose <sup>c</sup>	12 (75%)	44 (57%)	17 (61%)
- Injection site soreness	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
- Injection site reaction/rash	6 (38%)	25 (32%)	11 (39%)
- Headache	7 (44%)	37 (48%)	16 (57%)
- Muscle aches	9 (56%)	41 (53%)	14 (50%)
- Fatigue - Fever/chills	8 (50%)	25 (32%)	12 (43%)
- Allergic reaction	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
- Other <sup>d</sup>	2 (12%)	7 (9%)	7 (25%)

<sup>a</sup>Not all participants provided side effect data after first dose: 2 patients (1 pregnant, 1 lactating) did not provide information. Percentages are thus based off of N=16 non pregnant, N=79 pregnant, and N=30 lactating participants

<sup>b</sup> "Other" side effects reported after vaccine dose 1: elevated heart rate, joint pain, nausea, swollen lymph node, sore throat

<sup>c</sup> Not all participants received the second dose at the time of analysis; N=16 non-pregnant, N=80 pregnant, and N=29 lactating patients received second dose. Of those who received second dose, 4 did not provide side effect data (N=3 pregnant, N=1 lactating). Percentages are thus based off of N=16 non pregnant, N=77 pregnant, and N=28 lactating participants.

<sup>d</sup> "Other" side effects reported after vaccine dose 2: joint pain, nausea, sore throat, dizziness/light headedness, stomach ache, night sweats, clogged ears, swollen eyes

# 537 Table 2. Characteristics of Pregnant, Delivered Vaccine Recipients and Lactating Vaccine Recipients

Pregnant, Delivered Vaccine Recipients (N=13)			
Characteristic	N (%)		
Gestational age at delivery, median, (IQR), wk	39.3 (39, 40.3)		
Days from first vaccine to delivery, median (IQR)	36.5 (30, 42)		
Days from second vaccine to delivery, median (IQR) <sup>a</sup>	14 (11, 16)		
Labor	11 (85%)		
Mode of delivery			
Vaginal	10 (77%)		
Cesarean	3 (23%)		
Birthweight, g	3452 (563)		
Adverse pregnancy outcome			
Fetal growth restriction	0 (0%)		
Preeclampsia/gestational hypertension	0 (0%)		
Preterm delivery	1 (8%)		
- Spontaneous	1		
- Medically-indicated	0		
Composite infant morbidity <sup>b</sup>			
Supplemental oxygen/ CPAP	1 (8%)		
Transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN)	1 (8%)		
Special care nursery admission NICU admission	0		
Respiratory distress syndrome	2 (15%)		
Necrotizing enterocolitis	0		
Sepsis	0		
Assisted ventilation	0		
Seizure	0		
Grade 3/4 intraventricular hemorrhage	0		
Death	0		
Lactating Vaccine Recipients (N=31)			
Characteristic	N (%)		
Months after delivery, median (IQR)	7.3 (3.8, 10.8)		
Months after delivery			
0-3	5 (16%)		
3-6	6 (19%)		
6-9+	18 (58%)		
Unknown	2 (6%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>2 patients delivered prior to receiving the second dose (17 days after V1 and 14 days after V1, cord blood only available for the patient delivering 17 days after V1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The 1 preterm delivery accounted for the documented cases of supplemental oxygen, TTN, and 1 of the 2 NICU admissions. The other NICU admission was a term infant with growth restriction admitted for persistent hypoglycemia.

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

- 545 Figure 1. Maternal vaccination induces a robust SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody response
- 546 **A-D.** Violin plots show the log<sub>10</sub> transformed mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) for (A) IgG
- 547 Spike-, (B) IgG RBD-, (C) IgA Spike-, and (D) IgA RBD-specific titers across V0, V1, and V2
- 548 time points collected from non-pregnant reproductive-age (blue), pregnant (orange), or lactating
- 549 (purple) participants. Participants who received BNT 162b2 from Pfizer/BioNTech are depicted
- as open circles, and participants who received mRNA-1273 from Moderna/NIH are depicted as
- closed circles. Differences across timepoints and groups were assessed by repeated measures
- mixed-effects model followed by posthoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test.
- 553 \* p <0.05, \*\* p <0.01, \*\*\* p <0.001, \*\*\*\* p <0.0001.
- **E.** Line graph showing the log<sub>10</sub> transformed relative Spike-specific titers across V0, V1, and V2
- 555 time points collected from non-pregnant (blue), pregnant (orange), or lactating (purple)
- 556 participants for IgG (circles:solid lines), IgM (open triangles:dashed lines), and IgA
- 557 (squares:dotted lines).
- 558 F. Violin plots show the IgG and IgM Spike-specific titer in non-pregnant (blue), pregnant
- orange), lactating (purple), and naturally-infected pregnant (yellow) participants. Participants
- who received BNT 162b2 from Pfizer/BioNTech are depicted as open circles, and participants
- who received mRNA-1273 from Moderna/NIH are depicted as closed circles. Differences across
- groups were assessed by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by posthoc Dunn's multiple comparisons
- test. \*\*\*\* p <0.0001 compared to natural infection in pregnant women.

Figure 2. Maternal vaccination induces SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies that transfer to 565 breastmilk and umbilical cord blood 566 A-C. Violin plots show the log<sub>10</sub> transformed mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) for (A) IgG1, 567 (B) IgA, and (C) IgM Spike-specific breastmilk titers across V0, V1, and V2 time points. 568 Differences across timepoints were assessed with repeated measures mixed effects model 569 570 followed by posthoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Participants who received BNT 162b2 from Pfizer/BioNTech are depicted as open circles, and participants who received mRNA-1273 571 572 from Moderna/NIH are depicted as closed circles. \* p <0.05, \*\* p <0.01, \*\*\* p <0.001, \*\*\*\* p <0.0001. 573 574 **D-E.** Dot plots showing relative (**D**) Spike- and (E) RBD-specific maternal blood (M) and cord blood (C) titers of IgG1. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was performed to determine 575 significance. TR: transfer ratio. On the right of each panel, the x axis shows the time from 2nd 576 vaccine until delivery and the y axis shows cord blood log<sub>10</sub> transformed titer for (**D**) IgG Spike 577 578 (purple) and (E) IgG RBD (turquoise). Correlation was determined by Spearman correlation test. 579 PBS Background subtraction was used to determine corrected optical density (OD) of 0.0. 580 F. Neutralizing antibody titers (50% inhibitory dose (ID50)) of maternal blood (M) and cord blood (C) are presented. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was performed to determine 581 582 significance. 583 584 585

586



