Low placental weight and altered metabolic scaling after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 infection during pregnancy: a prospective multicentric study

Anda-Petronela Radan, MD, David Baud, MD, Guillaume Favre, MD, Andrea Papadia, MD, PhD, Daniel Surbek, MD, Marc Baumann, MD, Luigi Raio, MD

PII: S1198-743X(22)00076-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.02.003

Reference: CMI 2829

To appear in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

Received Date: 8 November 2021 Revised Date: 31 January 2022 Accepted Date: 1 February 2022



Please cite this article as: Radan A-P, Baud D, Favre G, Papadia A, Surbek D, Baumann M, Raio L, Low placental weight and altered metabolic scaling after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 infection during pregnancy: a prospective multicentric study, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.02.003.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

- 1 Low placental weight and altered metabolic scaling after severe acute respiratory
- 2 syndrome coronavirus type 2 infection during pregnancy: a prospective
- 3 multicentric study
- 4 Anda-Petronela RADAN, MD*, David BAUD, MD **, Guillaume FAVRE, MD **,
- 5 Andrea PAPADIA, MD, PhD***, Daniel SURBEK, MD*, Marc BAUMANN, MD*,
- 6 Luigi RAIO, MD*
- 7 *Department of Obstetrics and feto-maternal Medicine, University Hospital of Bern,
- 8 University of Bern, Switzerland
- 9 **Women Mother Child Department, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne,
- 10 Switzerland
- ***Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale (EOC),
- 12 Lugano, Switzerland
- 13
- 14 <u>Corresponding Author:</u> Anda-Petronela Radan, MD
- 15 Department of Obstetrics and feto-maternal Medicine
- 16 University Hospital of Bern
- 17 University of Bern, Switzerland
- 18 Friedbühlstrasse 19, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland
- 19 Tel +41316321010/ Fax: +41316321646
- 20 Email: anda-petronela.radan@insel.ch

22 Abstract 23 **Objectives** 24 A higher risk for adverse pregnancy outcome is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection, which could be partially explained by an altered placental function. Since 25 26 histopathology is often unspecific, we aimed to assess placental weight, 27 birthweight/placental-weight (b/p) ratio and the metabolic scaling exponent B, an 28 indicator of a normal fetal-placental growth, in order to analyze the placental 29 function. Methods 30 We included 153 singleton pregnancies with SARS-CoV-2 positive PCR in our study, 31 who delivered at three referring hospitals in Switzerland. Placental weight and b/p ratio 32 33 were compared to published reference charts. Logistic regression analysis investigated 34 the role of time of infection and other confounding factors on placental weight. The scaling exponent β was compared to the reference value of $\frac{3}{4}$. 35 36 Results Placental weight was inferior or equal to the 10th centile in 42.5% (65/153) and to the 3rd 37 38 centile in 19%(29/153) of the cases. The risk of low placental weight was not influenced by the trimester of infection. B/p ratio was $>50^{th}$ centile in 80.4%(123/153) of the cases. 39 40 Incidence of fetal growth restriction, preeclampsia and gestational diabetes was

11.8%(18/153), 3.3%(5/153) and 19.6%(30/153). Linear regression modelling revealed

a pathologic metabolic scaling exponent β of 0.871±0.064 (R²=0.56).

43 <u>Conclusion</u>

41

44	SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy was associated with a higher incidence of low placental
45	weight, an increased b/p ratio and an abnormal scaling exponent $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in our cohort. This
46	could be particularly relevant for the yet controversial issue of increased stillbirth rate
47	in SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy. In this regard, intensified fetal surveillance
48	should be mandatory in these pregnancies.
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	

Introduction

65	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first identified
66	in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, and has caused a major global health crisis ever
67	since ¹ . In its severe forms, SARS-CoV-2 infection can trigger a hyper-inflammatory
68	response, leading to a complex, immune-mediated disorder ² . It is rational to believe
69	that COVID-19 as highly immunogenic viral infection may interfere with the regular
70	course of pregnancy, and that pregnant women could be highly susceptible to a more
71	severe course of the disease ² . Indeed, recent systematic reviews report more severe
72	outcomes in pregnant women with symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and a higher
73	risk to be admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) and to require invasive
74	ventilation ³ . The risk for adverse neonatal outcome also seems to be elevated ⁵ .
75	Moreover, the risk of stillbirth seems to be increased, as reported by a British study
76	including more than 340.000 women ⁵ .
77	To date, it is still unclear to which extent placental damage could be responsible for
78	adverse maternal and neonatal outcome in these pregnancies. Although a fair amount
79	of attention focused on this topic, reports are yet inconclusive. Several studies report
80	histopathological alterations such as presence of intervillous thrombi and placental
81	infarcts as an expression of maternal vascular malperfusion after SARS-CoV-2
82	infection ⁶⁻⁹ . Presence of a robust inflammatory response at the maternal-fetal
83	interface and possible associations with long-term neurocognitive impairment in
84	children after immune activation in the placenta have also been discussed 10.
85	Nevertheless, histopathological findings are still conflicting, since several studies
86	report no differences between placentas originating from SARS-CoV-2 infected
87	mothers, as compared to controls ¹¹⁻¹² .

88	Maternal viral infection can be associated with placental alterations, such as
89	lymphoplasmacytic villitis following cytomegalovirus infection, as well as
90	intervillositis after Zika or Dengue virus infections ⁶ . Expression of angiotensin-
91	converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in the placenta offers a potential entry mechanism for
92	SARS-CoV-2, yet vertical transmission seems to be exceptionally rare ⁷⁻⁹ . Beyond the
93	inconsistency of data lies one certainty: no histopathological `footprint` in association
94	with SARS-CoV-2 has yet been found, and the described changes can be associated
95	with other pregnancy related pathologies such as hypertensive complications ¹³⁻¹⁴ .
96	A healthy placenta is a prerequisite for appropriate fetal growth and development,
97	and alterations at its level may cause hypoxia and impairment of various transport
98	systems such as glucose and amino acids transport, which may have short- and
99	longtime consequences for the fetus ¹⁵ .
100	Birthweight/placental weight ratio (b/p ratio), also defined as gram fetus per gram
101	placenta, reflects a marker of placental efficiency. A high b/p ratio seems to be
102	associated with adverse obstetrical outcome such as fetal distress, meconium-stained
103	amniotic fluid or hyperbilirubinemia ¹⁶⁻¹⁷ . In mice, small placentas have been shown to
104	upregulate placental transport systems in order to prevent fetal growth restriction ¹⁶⁻¹⁷ .
105	An elevated b/p ratio could be a marker for increased nutrient transfer to the fetus, who
106	despite its `normal` weight, seems to be at risk by `outgrowing` its placenta ¹⁶⁻¹⁷ .
107	A similar approach to assess placental efficacy is to calculate the metabolic scaling
108	exponent ß, which reflects the fractal structure of the placental vasculature ¹⁸ . This model
109	proposes an explanation on how the placenta `translates` into fetal mass, thus
110	metabolism into organism ¹⁸ .
111	Given the inconsistency of data regarding placental histopathology after SARS-CoV-2
112	infection during pregnancy, we aimed to further follow the more basic approach of

assessing placental function by assessing its weight, calculating the b/p ratio and analyzing whether the scaling exponent β in our population is close to $\frac{3}{4}$ which would be congruent with an optimal placental metabolic efficiency.

Methods:

116

117 We included in our study prospective data originating from singleton pregnancies 118 between 24 and 44 weeks of gestation affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection who delivered 119 between May 2020 and July 2021 at three referring hospitals in Switzerland, irrespective 120 of maternal symptoms. Diagnosis of infection was performed by evidence of SARS-121 CoV-2-RNA in real time polymerase chain reaction test (RT-PCR) in nasopharyngeal 122 swab in all women. Weight of wet, untrimmed placentas was assessed in a standard manner within minutes after delivery, after removal of blood clots. 123 124 Written consent was obtained from all women for use of COVID-19 related data. 125 Institutional review board (IRB) approval from the Cantonal Ethical Committees of 126 Bern, Lausanne and Lugano was obtained. The study was performed in accordance with 127 the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. 128 Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism version 8.0 for Windows, 129 (GraphPad Software, San Diego CA, USA). Independent sample student's t-test was 130 used to compare continuous variables. Proportions were analyzed by using Fisher's 131 exact test or Chi² test where appropriate. Spearman rank correlation and linear logistic 132 regression were used to assess the relationship between gestational age, birth weight, 133 and placental weight. Placental weight scale and percentiles were calculated according to Thompson JM et al. ²². A case-control analysis comparing low to normal placental 134 135 weight was performed, considering low placental weight as inferior or equal to the 3rd and to the 10th percentile, respectively. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. 136

137 For multivariate analysis, co-variates will be considered into the regression model if the 138 univariate analysis shows a difference between groups with a p value p<0.25. 139 To verify the fetal-placental scaling exponent-β, the metabolic scaling equation was applied and fitted as described by Salafia et al ¹⁸. 140 141 Since human neonatal birthweight does not scale linearly with the placental weight but this interconnection follows the rules of allometric metabolic scaling model described 142 by Keiber's law and Ahern's adaptation for the feto-placental unit 19-20, we considered 143 144 following formula: placental weight = α (birthweight)^{β} 145 146 which reveals the relationship between placental weight and birth weight, under the hypothesis that the placenta and the fetus interact like a fractal supply system¹⁸. We 147 148 considered as reference the β-value close to the value of ³/₄, which has been previously described as normal in allometric metabolic studies in singleton pregnancies¹⁸⁻²¹. 149 Briefly, Ahern's power function relationship, i.e. placental weight (PW) = α (birth 150 weight)^β was transformed in a linear form by applying the natural logarithm to both 151 sides: Ln(PW)=Lnα+β*LnBW. The data were then fitted by ordinary linear least-152 153 square regression using the curve-fitting tool of the statistical software. 154 Results During the study period, 153 placentas following pregnancies affected by SARS-CoV-155 2 infection were included. Description of baseline characteristics is depicted in Table 1. 156 Placental weight was inferior or equal to the 10th centile in 42.5% (65/153) and inferior 157 or equal to the 3^{rd} centile in 19% (29/153) of the cases (Fig. 1). B/p ratio was $> 50^{th}$ 158 centile in 80.4% (123/153) of the cases and $> 90^{th}$ centile in 31.37% (48/153) of the 159 cases (Fig. 2). Linear regression modelling of the analysed population revealed a 160

- 161 metabolic scaling exponent β of 0.871±0.064 (R²=0.56) and LnPW= -0.786 +
- 162 0.871*LnBW (Fig 3).
- 163 Trimester 1, 2 and 3 were defined as conception up to 11 + 6 weeks, between 12 to 23
- + 6 weeks and more than 24 weeks of gestation, respectively. In 62.1% (95/153) of the
- cases, infection occurred in the third trimester of gestation. In 29.41% (45/153) and in
- 4.58%; (7/153) of the cases, infection occurred in the second and first trimester,
- respectively. In 3.92% (6/153) cases, the time-point of infection was unknown.
- 168 Univariate logistic regression revealed that the risk of low placental weight was not
- significantly different between each trimester of infection after OR analysis for the 3rd
- and for the 10th centile, adjusted for trimester of infection and multiparty status.
- 171 In multivariate logistic regression analysis considering adjusted OR on available co-
- variates: BMI >35 kg/m2, ethnicity, tobacco consumption, multiparty, gestational
- diabetes, pregestational diabetes and preeclampsia, multiparty was the only significant
- 174 factor negatively associated with low placental weight defined as inferior or equal to
- 175 10^{th} percentile (OR 0.49 [95% CI [0.25 0.97]; p=0.034) (Table 2).
- 176 The incidence of fetal growth restriction (FGR), defined as a fetal weight <10th centile
- 177 for gestational age, was 11.8% (18/153), whereas 3.3% (5/153) of cases were
- 178 complicated by preeclampsia. Gestational diabetes was present in 19.6% (30/153) of the
- 179 cases. (Table 1)
- 180 After infection in the first trimester, no pregnancy was complicated by FGR (0/7). Of
- the pregnancies where infection occurred in the second trimester, 13.33% (6/45) resulted
- in FGR, vs. 9.5%(9/95) after infection in the third trimester. In three cases of FGR
- 183 (3/153, 2%), the time point of infection was unknown.

184 **Discussion**

185 The main finding of our study was the increased incidence of low placental weight after 186 SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy. This finding contrasts with the predominantly normal birthweight of the corresponding neonates and leads to an 187 188 elevated b/p ratio in our cohort. Furthermore, we found a distinctively higher value of 189 the metabolic scaling exponent β than expected in normal singleton pregnancies. 190 Understanding the possible implications of a pathologically altered b/p ratio is important 191 for further interpretation of these findings. A low b/p ratio is commonly found in FGR fetuses, where both placental and fetal weight are low¹⁷. In contrast, the combination 192 193 small placenta/normal sized fetus seems to be a sign of upregulated nutrient transfer 194 capacity in an apparently normal pregnancy, where the resulting `normal` fetal weight 195 possibly masks an altered placenta/fetus-dyad. 196 One of the largest studies investigating the meaning of the b/p ratio relies on data from over 500.000 singleton deliveries in Norway²³. The authors analyzed the relative risk of 197 198 fetal death in the lowest and highest b/p ratio quartiles for both preterm and term 199 deliveries in their population. In the preterm group, in both lowest and highest b/p ratio 200 quartiles, odds ratio for fetal death was increased, whereas at term, an elevated risk for 201 fetal demise was found in the highest quartile. This finding is highly relevant and 202 suggests that a small placenta as related to fetal size could be a risk factor for fetal death 203 at term. 204 By relating these findings to our cohort, this could indicate that presumably low-risk 205 fetuses are actually at high risk, and that SARS-CoV-2 could act as a 'promoter' for the 206 destabilisation of the placental-fetal dyad in these pregnancies. 207 Data on placental weight after maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection is limited, as most 208 publications focus on histopathological and immunhistochemical examinations. One

report documents a rate of 66.7% placentas < 10th centile (n=20), which is in line with 209 our findings, nevertheless associated with an accordingly high prevalence of FGR¹². 210 211 As previously mentioned, there is preliminary data linking fetal death to SARS-CoV-2 212 infection during pregnancy. The mechanism behind these findings is not yet elucidated, 213 and so far, placental inflammation and/or generic consequence of maternal illness in 214 pregnancy were invoked as a potential cause⁵. Our data cannot fully explain the 215 underlying mechanism either, but raise further concerns regarding these insights. Given 216 the scenario of increased risk for fetal demise in relation with elevated b/p ratio, we believe it is legitimate to be concerned about the "stability" of the placental function in 217 218 COVID-19 disease during pregnancy. We used as reference placental weight charts for singleton pregnancies published by 219 220 Thomson et al. in 2007, which are based on data originating from 231.806 deliveries from Norway²². To our knowledge, these are the largest published placental weight 221 222 reference charts originating from a population similar to ours in terms of ethnical 223 distribution, gestational age and type of pregnancy (multiple pregnancies have been excluded from our analysis, in order to best fit the reference curves). In the reference 224 225 population, 85.7% of the women were born in Norway, thus the ethnicity can be 226 extrapolated to our Swiss, predominantly Caucasian population, as opposed to other placental weight curves available, where an important percent of the population was of 227 African (>80%) or Asian (>95%) ethnicity $^{24-25}$. Furthermore, the reference values were 228 229 generated by using outcomes from pregnancies between 24 and 44 weeks of gestation, 230 identical to our cohort. All though Swiss reference curves for placental weight are also 231 available, these are based on a population starting with 37 weeks of gestation and derive from a significantly lower cohort, thus our decision in favor of the Scandinavic 232 curves^{22,26}. Given the size and characteristics of the available references, we consciously 233

234	decided against a case-control approach. We are aware that some may regard this as a
235	weak point of our analysis, thus we intended to counterbalance it with the calculation of
236	the scaling exponent β in our population.
237	On this note, we found a distinctively higher value of the scaling exponent β than
238	expected in normal singleton pregnancies. A higher value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ correlates with a newborn
239	weight lower than that predicted by Kleiber's metabolic scaling law ¹⁸ . It is assumed that
240	a deviation from a $\beta \approx 0.75$ may reflect a decreased metabolic efficiency of the placenta,
241	as β reflects the fractal structure of the placental vasculature $^{18}.$ This correlates with the
242	elevated b/p ratio.
243	Looking beyond the presumed risk for fetal death associated with an elevated b/p ratio,
244	there is evidence linking placental growth and metabolism to development of chronic
245	diseases in later life. Placental weight seems to play a critical role in fetal programming,
246	without necessarily influencing size at birth ²⁷⁻²⁸ . This may occur due to developmental
247	plasticity, where adaptation to a low trans-placental supply of nutrients can influence
248	the long-term development of the offspring on an epigenetical basis ²⁸ .
249	Our study shows no significant difference in risk of low placenta weight in pregnant
250	women infected by the SARS-CoV-2 between trimesters of infection. This finding of
251	an apparently lack of association between early infection during pregnancy and the
252	incidence of placental insufficiency is somewhat anticyclical, as the expression of ACE2
253	and transmembrane protease serine-2 receptors in the placenta are highest in the first
254	trimester of pregnancy ²⁹ . Given the absolute low number of cases with FGR in our
255	cohort, we believe it is not possible to draw any solid conclusion relying on this data.
256	The incidence of preterm delivery and FGR in our cohort were both in line with the
257	Swiss incidence of these adverse pregnancy outcomes (Table 1). In only four cases

258 (4/153, 2.61%), both FGR and premature delivery were present, so that an association 259 between the two cannot be clearly stated. 260 Our study is the only one to date describing a relevant association between low placental 261 weight as well as an altered metabolic scaling value in pregnancies complicated by 262 SARS-CoV-2 infection, without cofounding factors such as FGR. The main strengths 263 of the study are its prospective and multicentric nature. For the moment, it is still 264 speculative to assert that SARS-CoV-2 alone may be responsible for these findings, the 265 size of the cohort being the main limitation of our study. A further limitation is not being 266 able to assess severity of disease in all patients, because of data inconsistency, thus not 267 considering it in multivariate logistic regression. It seems urgent to continue research on placental defensive and potentially altered 268 269 adaptive mechanism during infection, in particular after SARS-CoV-2 viral infection. Analyzing placental weight, b/p ratio and the scaling exponent-ß may offer additional 270 271 clues to understand the processes at the maternal-placental interface. A healthy, normal sized and adequately functioning placenta is not only important for 272 273 the direct outcome of the pregnancy, but is likely to provide lifetime benefits for the offspring²⁷⁻²⁸. Our study reveals that the maternal-fetal unit could be at risk for placental 274 275 related impairment after SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, and we believe that intensified fetal surveillance should be mandatory in these cases³⁰. 276 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, placental weight, birthweight/placental weight 277 ratio, metabolic scaling exponent β, gestational diabetes 278 **Disclosure statement:** The author(s) report(s) no conflict of interest. 279 **Financial support:** No funding. 280

281	Authors` contributions:		
282	Anda-Petronela RADAN: conce	eption and design of the study, acquisition of data,	
283	analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article		
284	David BAUD: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, revising the		
285	article critically for important int	tellectual content	
286	Guillaume FAVRE: acquisition	of data, analysis and interpretation of data	
287	Andrea PAPADIA: acquisition of	of data, revising the article critically for important	
288	intellectual content		
289	Daniel SURBEK: acquisition of	data, revising the article critically for important	
290	intellectual content		
291	Marc BAUMANN: analysis and	interpretation of data	
292	Luigi RAIO: conception and design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data,		
293	revising the article critically for important intellectual content		
294	References		
295	1. Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'No	eill N, et al. World Health Organization declares global	
296	emergency. A review of	the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID- 19). Int J Surg	
297	2020; 76 : 71–76.		
298	2. Mor G., Aldo P, Alvero A	A. The unique immunological and microbial aspects of	
299	pregnancy. Nat	Rev Immunol 17, 469–482 (2017).	
300	https://doi.org/10.1038/n	ri.2017.64	
301	3. Elsaddig M., Khalil A. Ef	ffects of the COVID pandemic on pregnancy outcomes.	
302	Best Practice & Research	a Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Volume 73, 2021,	
303	125-136, https://doi.org/1	10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2021.03.004.	

- 4. Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and
- maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy:
- living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2020 Sep 1;370:m3320. doi:
- 307 10.1136/bmj.m3320. PMID: 32873575; PMCID: PMC7459193.
- 5. Gurol-Urganci I, Jardine JE, Carroll F, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of
- pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of birth in England:
- and of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021
- 311 May. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.05.016. PMID: 34023315; PMCID:
- 312 PMC8135190.
- 6. Shanes ED, Mithal LB, Otero S, Azad HA, Miller ES, Goldstein JA. Placental
- 314 Pathology in COVID-19. Am J Clin Pathol. 2020;154(1):23-32.
- 315 doi:10.1093/ajcp/aqaa089
- 7. Gengler C, Dubruc E, Favre G, Greub G, de Leval L, Baud D. SARS-CoV-2
- ACE-receptor detection in the placenta throughout pregnancy. *Clin Microbiol*
- 318 *Infect.* 2021;27(3):489-490. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.09.049
- 8. Jaiswal N, Puri M, Agarwal K, et al. COVID-19 as an independent risk factor
- for subclinical placental dysfunction. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2021
- 321 Jan 29;259:7-11. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2021.01.049. Epub ahead of print.
- 322 PMID: 33556768; PMCID: PMC7845516.
- 9. Hecht JL, Quade B, Deshpande V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 can infect the placenta
- and is not associated with specific placental histopathology: a series of 19
- 325 placentas from COVID-19-positive mothers. Mod Pathol. 2020
- 326 Nov;33(11):2092-2103. doi: 10.1038/s41379-020-0639-4. Epub 2020 Aug 2.
- 327 PMID: 32741970; PMCID: PMC7395938.

328 10. Lu-Culligan A, Chavan AR, Vijayakumar P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in 329 pregnancy is associated with robust inflammatory response at the maternal-330 fetal interface. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2021 Jan 26:2021.01.25.21250452. doi: 331 10.1101/2021.01.25.21250452. PMID: 33532791; PMCID: PMC7852242. 332 11. Gao L, Ren J, Xu L, et al. Placental pathology of the third trimester pregnant 333 women from COVID-19. Diagn Pathol. 2021 Jan 14;16(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s13000-021-01067-6. PMID: 33441152; PMCID: PMC7806280. 334 12. HE M, Skaria P, Kreutz K et al. Histopathology of Third Trimester Placenta 335 336 from SARS-CoV-2-Positive Women, Fetal and Pediatric Pathology, DOI: 337 10.1080/15513815.2020.1828517 338 13. Bustamante Helfrich B, Chilukuri N, He H, et al. Maternal vascular 339 malperfusion of the placental bed associated with hypertensive disorders in the Boston Birth Cohort. Placenta. 2017;52:106-113. 340 14. Weiner E, Feldstein O, Tamayev L, et al. Placental histopathological lesions 341 342 in correlation with neonatal outcome in preeclampsia with and without severe 343 features. Pregnancy Hypertens. 2018;12:6-10. 344 15. Illsley NP, Baumann MU. Human placental glucose transport in fetoplacental 345 growth and metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2020 Feb 346 1;1866(2):165359. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.12.010. Epub 2018 Dec 26. 347 PMID: 30593896; PMCID: PMC6594918. 348 16. Salavati N, SGordijn SJ, USovio U, et al. Birth weight to placenta weight ratio 349 and its relationship to ultrasonic measurements, maternal and neonatal 350 morbidity: A prospective cohort study of nulliparous women. Placenta. 2017. 351 DOI: 10.1016/j.placenta.2017.11.008

- 17. Hayward CE, Lean S, Sibley CP, et al. Placental Adaptation: What Can We
- Learn from Birthweight: Placental Weight Ratio? Front Physiol. 2016; 7: 28.
- Published online 2016 Feb 5. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00028
- 355 18. Salafia CM, Misra DP, Yampolsky M, Charles AK, Miller RK. Allometric
- metabolic scaling and fetal and placental weight. Placenta. 2009 Apr;30(4):355-
- 357 60. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2009.01.006. Epub 2009 Mar 4. PMID: 19264357;
- 358 PMCID: PMC3779882.
- **19.** Kleiber M. Body size and metabolism. Hilgardia 1932;6:315–353
- **20.** Gruenwald P. The placenta and its maternal supply line: Effects of insufficiency
- on the fetus. Baltimore: University Park Press; 1975
- 362 21. Baumann MU, Marti M, Durrer L, et al. Placental plasticity in monochorionic
- 363 twins: Impact on birth weight and placental weight. Placenta. 2015
- 364 Sep;36(9):1018-23. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2015.07.120. Epub 2015 Jul 14.
- 365 PMID: 26215381.
- 22. Thompson JM, Irgens LM, Skjaerven R, Rasmussen S. Placenta weight
- percentile curves for singleton deliveries. BJOG. 2007 Jun;114(6):715-20. doi:
- 368 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2007.01327.x. PMID: 17516963.
- 369 23. Haavaldsen C., Samuelsen S. O., Eskild A. (2013). Fetal death and placental
- weight/birthweight ratio: a population study. Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. 92,
- 371 583–590. 10.1111/aogs.12105
- 372 24. Dombrowski MP, Berry SM, Johnson MP, Saleh AA, Sokol RJ. Birth weight-
- length ratios, ponderal indexes, placental weights, and birth weight-placenta
- ratios in a large population. Arch Pediatr AdolescMed. 1994;148:508–12.
- 375 25. Ogawa M, Matsuda Y, Nakai A, Hayashi M, Sato S, Matsubara S. Standard
- 376 curves of placental weight and fetal/placental weight ratio in Japanese

377	population: difference according to the delivery mode, fetal sex, or maternal
378	parity. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2016 Nov;206:225-231. doi:
379	10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.09.004. Epub 2016 Oct 5. PMID: 27750181.
380	26. Burkhardt T, Schäffer L, Schneider C, Zimmermann R, Kurmanavicius J.
381	Reference values for the weight of freshly delivered term placentas and for
382	placental weight-birth weight ratios. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2006
383	Sep-Oct;128(1-2):248-52. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2005.10.032. Epub 2005 Dec
384	27. PMID: 16377060.
385	27. Barker DJ. In utero programming of chronic disease. Clin Sci (Lond).
386	1998;95(2):115–28.
387	28. Barker DJ, Bull AR, Osmond C, Simmonds SJ. Fetal and placental size and risk
388	of hypertension in adult life. BMJ 1990;301(6746)
389	29. Bloise E, Zhang J, Nakpu J et al. Expression of severe acute respiratory
390	syndrome coronavirus 2 cell entry genes, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and
391	transmembrane protease serine 2, in the placenta across gestation and at the
392	maternal-fetal interface in pregnancies complicated by preterm birth or
393	preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2021 Mar;224(3):298.e1-298.e8. doi:
394	10.1016/j.ajog.2020.08.055. Epub 2020 Aug 25. PMID: 32853537; PMCID:
395	PMC7445125.
396	30. Vouga M., Favre G., Martinez-Perez O., et al. Maternal outcomes and risk
397	factors for COVID-19 severity among pregnant women. Sci Rep 11, 13898
398	(2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92357-y
200	
399	

Tables and Figures

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of the study population

Characteristics	values
BMI (kg/m2)	24.8 [22.2 - 29.4]
Parity	2 [1-2]
Gestational age at delivery (weeks ±	38.8 ± 2.71
SD)	
Preterm delivery ¹	16 (10.45%)
Gestational diabetes (%)	30 (19.6%)
Preeclampsia (%)	5 (3.3%)
Fetal growth restriction ²	18 (11.76%)
Gestational age at infection (weeks)	31 [26 - 37]
Birth weight (grams ± SD)	3206.57 ± 637.49
Placental weight (grams ± SD)	520.42 ± 124.81

Values are shown as median (range), number and % or mean \pm standard deviation (SD) where

appropriate; ¹preterm delivery: <37 0/7 weeks of gestation; ²FGR: Fetal growth restriction

John Marie President Control

412	defined by abdominal circumference <5th percentile / fetal weight <10th percentile with
413	altered hemodynamic or abnormal growth trajectory
414	

Table 2: Clinical characteristics of the study population dichotomized between

placental weight $\leq 10^{th}$ and $> 10^{th}$ centile for gestational age

Characteristics	Placental weight		p-value
	≤ 10 th centile	> 10 th centile	
	[n = 65 (42.5%)]	[n = 88 (57.5%)]	
BMI (kg/m2)	24.0 [22 - 28.1]	25.6 [22.2 - 31.2]	ns
Tobacco consumption (%)	2 (3.1%)	4 (4.5%)	ns
Parity	2 [1-2]	2 [1-3]	ns
Gestational diabetes (%)	12 (18.5%)	18 (20.5%)	ns
Preeclampsia (%)	2 (3.1%)	3 (3.4%)	ns
Gestational age at infection (weeks)	31 [26 - 37]	30 [22 - 37]	ns
Gestational age at delivery (weeks)	39 [38 - 40]	39 [37 - 40]	ns

Values are shown as median (range) or number and % where appropriate; ns, not significant

428	Figure legends
429	Figure 1. Placental weights (black dots) plotted on reference ranges derived from
430	Thompson et al ²² . The lines represent the 10 th , 50 th , and 90 th percentile for gestational
431	age.
432	
433	Figure 2. Birth weight/placental weight ratio (b/p weight ratio). Placental weights
434	(black dots) plotted on reference ranges derive from Thompson et al ²² . The lines
435	represent the 10 th , 50 th , and 90 th percentile for gestational age.
436	
437	Figure 3. Relationship between birth weight and placental mass. Fitted straight line to
438	natural logarithms (LN) of birth weight (BW) and placental weight (PW).
439	
440	





